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Abstract. A proof of the twin-prime conjecture, even in the stronger form of Hardy and Littlewood, namely that

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{p<N \\ p, p+2 \text { both prime }}} \log p \cdot \log (p+2)=B_{2}>0,
$$

is presented using methods from classical analytic number theory.

## 1 Introduction

A natural generating function for the twin-prime problem is the Dirichlet series

$$
T(s):=\sum_{n>3} \Lambda(n-1) \Lambda(n+1) n^{-s} \quad(\Re e s>1) .
$$

Here we will show that $T(s)-B_{2}(s-1)^{-1}$ can be continuously extended onto the line $\Re e s=1$, (Th.1). This enables application of the powerful complex Tauberian theorem of Wiener and Ikehara (1931) and then almost immediately yields our main result, (Th.2).

The ideas basic to our proof of Th. 1 are plain: We are striving to exhibit a relation between $T(s)$ and the Riemann Zeta function $\zeta(s)$; motivated by a proof of the Prime Number Theorem along the above lines [where $T(s)$ is replaced by $[\log \zeta(s)]^{\prime}$ and only $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ on $\Re e s=1$ needs to be known]. Now, $T(s)$ can be obtained by a "kind of sieving" from the Dirichlet series of $[\log \zeta(s)]^{\prime \prime}$, which leads to the arithmetic formula (2) in Lemma 1 for the "characteristic" function $\Lambda(n-1) \Lambda(n+1)$ of the prime powers with difference 2 .

This important formula leads to a representation of $T(s)$ by a double series over all odd squarefree $m$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$and over all $n$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$satisfying the quadratic congruence $4 n^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod m$. The number of such $n$ in any interval of length $m$ is a known multiplicative function of $m$, which heuristically leads to the above singular term for $T(s)$ with, remarkably, the same constant $B_{2}$ as made plausible by the classical circle method of Hardy \& Littlewood. But splitting off such a "main term" and studying the remainder turned out be counterproductive. In this context a look at some papers of P. Turan [5], [6] is informative.

The individual solutions of the above quadratic congruence can be characterized variously using the prime factorization of $m$, or exponential sums (similar to Kloostermann's, say) or Dirichlet characters modulo $m$; a.o. However, none of these customary (and mainly implicit) descriptions turned out to be useful for our purpose. Instead we developed an explicit parameter representation for these solutions (Lemma 2); by a reduction to pairs of linear congruences. Introducing this representation essentially converts $T(s)$ into a quadruple series over four (controllable) parameters $a, c, k, \ell$, say; each ranging over the positive odd integers and jointly subject to the single constraint $C_{2}: a \ell-c k=2$, however.

We enforce $C_{2}$ as usual by multiplying the terms of $T(s)$ with an appropriate trigonometric integral, but we do not then switch this integration with the quadruple summation. Instead we first modify the integral for $C_{2}$ (in essence) by replacing the cosine function under the integral by the inverse transform of its Mellin-transform. This yields an absolutely convergent double integral (Lemma 5 ), and we then switch the two complex integrations with the free quadruple summation.

It is indicative of the binary nature of the twin-prime problem that an exact treatment of the resulting (double) integral here is feasible; in contrast to, for instance, the ternary Goldbach-Vinogradov problem, where the circle method affords (only) an approximate, yet effective treatment of the relevant integral. Namely, by design, the quadruple series appearing under the (double) integral can be "summed in closed form"; i.e. it can be expressed (in simplified description) as
$\zeta(w) M^{\prime \prime}(w, z) \zeta(z), \quad$ where $M^{\prime \prime}(w, z):=\sum_{k, \ell} \mu(2 k \ell)[\log k \ell]^{2} k^{-w} \ell^{-z}, \quad(u, x>1)$
is a (double) Dirichlet series in two complex variables, which is characteristic for the twin-prime problem (cf. Lemma 1). Its needed analytic continuation will be obtained from

$$
M^{\prime \prime}(w, z)=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^{2}\left[\zeta_{0}^{-1}(w) P(w, z) \zeta_{0}^{-1}(z)\right] \quad \text { with } \quad \zeta_{0}(z):=\sum_{n \text { odd }} n^{-z}
$$

and

$$
P(w, z):=\prod_{p>2}\left[1-\left(p^{w}-1\right)^{-1}\left(p^{z}-1\right)^{-1}\right] \quad \text { for } \quad u>0, x>0 \& u+x>1
$$

This Euler product in two variables converges absolutely and compact uniformly, so that $M^{\prime \prime}(w, z)$ is holomorphic in each variable for $u>3 / 4 \& x>3 / 4$ say, except at the zeros of $\zeta(w) \zeta(z)$. The function $M^{\prime \prime}$ of two complex variables replaces here the Dirichlet L-functions with residue characters so prevalent in analytic prime number theory. For our application it suffices to make use of a known zero-free region for $\zeta(w)$ of the form

$$
u \geq 1-h(v) \quad \text { with } \quad h(v)=c[\log (2+|v|)]^{-a}, \quad(v \text { in } \mathbb{R}) \quad \text { and } a<1
$$

we choose $a=4 / 5$ ( to avoid factors like $\log \log (3+|v|)$ ), though better choices are available.

The development outlined so far yields, in essence, a representation of $T(s)$ by iterated integrals of Mellin-Barnes type involving besides $M^{\prime \prime}(w, z)$ several gamma and zeta functions a.o., which is valid primarily for $\Re e s>2$. In the remaining (major) part of this work we construct its analytic continuation to $\Re e s \geq 1$. The derivations are mainly technical, employ convergence enhancing tools and are concerned with demonstrating existence of (up to 3-fold) iterated contour integrals. These parameter-dependent integrals (with movable singularities in their integrands) are taken along vertical straight lines, and ultimately along "zero-free curves" such as $N_{w}: u=1-h(v),(-\infty<v<\infty)$. They are transformed by decomposition, shifting of contours, picking up residues or exchange of integrations, etc. For each manipulation an allowable domain for the variables, to maintain convergence, is determined; while these manipulations overall are designed to extend the domain of validity of the integral representations stepwise to their goal. Finally, for the case of an only "conditionally convergent" twice iterated integral occurring in our Main Lemma, a (lengthy) series of very detailed careful estimates is given to establish its actual convergence.

This work is the outcome of about twenty years of "on and off" search and research on this and the related binary Goldbach problem; in the interim having been lured onto various misleading paths or frustrated by (for me) insurmountable difficulties, before ultimately recognizing and constructing a workable approach.

## 2 The Characteristic Euler Product

Let

$$
T(s):=\sum_{n>3} \Lambda(n-1) \Lambda(n+1) n^{-s} \quad(\Re e s>1)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}=2 \prod_{p>2}\left[1-(p-1)^{-2}\right] \approx 1.320 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda(\cdot)$ is the von Mangoldt function and $B_{2}$ the Twin Prime Constant.
Theorem 1 The function $T_{1}(s):=T(s)-B_{2} /(s-1)$ on $\Re e s>1$ has a continuous extension to $\Re e s \geq 1$; i.e. $\lim _{\sigma \backslash 1} T_{1}(\sigma+\mathrm{i} t)=: f_{1}(t)$ uniformly for $t \in[-T, T]$ and every $T>0$.

A proof of this theorem will be supplied in what follows; it requires several auxiliary results which we derive at first.

Lemma 1 For even $n>3$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(n-1) \Lambda(n+1)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m \mid n^{2}-1} \mu(m) \log ^{2} m . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Namely, with $\mu(\cdot)$ denoting the Möbius function,

$$
\sum_{m n=k} \Lambda(m) \Lambda(n)=\Lambda(k) \log k+\sum_{m \mid k} \mu(m) \log ^{2} m \quad(k \geq 1)
$$

as follows by a simple calculation from

$$
\Lambda(n)=-\sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d) \log d \quad \& \quad \sum_{d \mid m} \Lambda(d)=\log m
$$

Now taking $k=a c$ with $a>1, c>1 \&(a, c)=1$, we have

$$
\sum_{m n=k} \Lambda(m) \Lambda(n)=2 \Lambda(a) \Lambda(c) \quad \& \quad \Lambda(k)=0
$$

and choosing $a=n-1, c=n+1$ implies (2).
We also need a special parameter representation for the solutions of a quadratic congruence:

Lemma 2 Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$be odd and squarefree. The even numbers $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$ satisfying $n^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod m$ are represented exactly once by the expression

$$
n=\frac{1}{2}(a \ell+c k)
$$

when $a, c, k, \ell$ vary over all odd integers in $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$satisfying $k \ell=m$ and $a \ell-c k=2$.
Proof: 1.) Let $m$ have $r$ prime factors. There are exactly $2^{r}$ distinct solutions $x=x_{j}$ in $\mathbb{Z},\left(j=1, \ldots, 2^{r}\right)$ of $4 x^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod m \& 1 \leq x \leq m$, since $m$ is squarefree; and so the numbers $n$ of the lemma are given exactly by $n=$ $2 x_{j}+2 h m,\left(j=1, \ldots, 2^{r} ; h=0,1,2, \ldots\right)$.
2.) Let $k$ be a divisor of $m$ and $\ell:=m / k$. Then $(k, \ell)=1$, and since also $(2, k)=(2, \ell)=1$, there exist a unique $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$
2 b \equiv 1 \bmod k, \quad 2 b \equiv-1 \bmod \ell \quad \& \quad 1 \leq b \leq m
$$

Then

$$
2 b+1=a_{0} \ell, \quad 2 b-1=c_{0} k \quad \& \quad 4 b^{2}=1+a_{0} c_{0} m \equiv 1 \bmod m
$$

with odd $a_{0}, c_{0}$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $a_{0} \ell-c_{0} k=2$. Hence by 1.) $b=x_{j}$ for a unique $j=j(k),\left(1 \leq j(k) \leq 2^{r}\right)$. Also

$$
\left(2 x_{j}-1, m\right)=\left(c_{0} k, \ell k\right)=k \quad \text { for } j=j(k), \text { since }\left(c_{0}, \ell\right)=1
$$

Now $m$ has exactly $d(m)=2^{r}$ (distinct) divisors $k$, and so the associated $2^{r}$ numbers $x_{j}$ for $j=j(k)$ are all distinct. This implies by 1.) that the index $j(k)$ assumes every (integral) value $j$ in $1 \leq j \leq 2^{r}$ exactly once, when $k$ runs through the divisors of $m$.
3.) Select $k \& \ell$ with $k \ell=m$. Then by 2.) for $j=j(k)$, we have

$$
2 x_{j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{0} \ell+c_{0} k\right) \& a_{0} \ell-c_{0} k=2 \text { with odd } a_{0}, c_{0} \text { in } \mathbb{Z}^{+} .
$$

Now all odd $a, c$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$satisfying $a \ell-c k=2$ are given by

$$
a=a_{0}+2 h k \quad \& \quad c=c_{0}+2 h \ell \text { with } h \geq 0 \text { in } \mathbb{Z},
$$

since $(k, \ell)=1$ and $c_{0} k=2 b-1 \leq 2 m-1<2 k \ell$ implies $c_{0}<2 \ell$. Then

$$
n:=\frac{1}{2}(a \ell+c k)=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{0} \ell+c_{0} k\right)+2 h m=2 x_{j}+2 h m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \quad(h \geq 0)
$$

is even, satisfies $n^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod m$, and is represented as stated in the lemma (with $a \ell-c k=2 ; a, c$ odd in $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$). When now $k$ runs through the divisors of $m$ we obtain by 2.) every $n$ listed under 1.) exactly once. This proves Lemma 2.

Corollary Let $h(n) \in \mathbb{C}$ be defined for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $\mu(2 m) \neq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{n>1, \text { even } \\ n^{2}=1 \bmod m}} h(n)=\sum_{\substack{k \mid m \\(\ell=m / k)}} \sum_{\substack{a, c \\(\ell-c k=2}}^{\circ} h\left(\frac{a \ell+c k}{2}\right) \text {, where } \sum_{a}^{\circ} \text { means } \sum_{\substack{a>0, \text { odd }}} \text {, } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the first series is abs. conv. (= absolutely convergent).
Next we introduce the function $M(w, z)$ by the abs. conv. series

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(w, z):=\sum_{m}^{\circ} \mu(m) \sum_{k \ell=m} k^{-w} \ell^{-z}=\sum_{k, \ell}^{\circ} \mu(k \ell) k^{-w} \ell^{-z} \quad \text { for } u>1, x>1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(w=u+\mathrm{i} v, z=x+\mathrm{i} y)$ and extend it analytically by the following calculation

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(w, z) & =\sum_{\substack{k, \ell \\
(k, \ell)=1}}^{\circ} \mu(k) \mu(\ell) k^{-w} \ell^{-z} \\
& =\sum_{k, \ell}^{\circ} \mu(k) \mu(\ell) k^{-w} \ell^{-z} \sum_{d|k, d| \ell} \mu(d) \\
& =\sum_{d}^{\circ} \mu(d) \sum_{k^{\prime}}^{\circ} \mu\left(k^{\prime} d\right)\left(k^{\prime} d\right)^{-w} \sum_{\ell^{\prime}}^{\circ} \mu\left(\ell^{\prime} d\right)\left(\ell^{\prime} d\right)^{-z} \\
& =\sum_{d}^{\circ} \mu^{3}(d) d^{-w-z} \sum_{\substack{k \\
k \\
(k, d)=1}}^{\circ} \mu(k) k^{-w} \sum_{\substack{\ell \\
(\ell, d)=1}}^{\circ} \mu(\ell) \ell^{-z} \\
& =\sum_{d}^{\circ} \mu(d) d^{-w-z} \prod_{p \nmid 2 d}\left[\left(1-p^{-w}\right)\left(1-p^{-z}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \zeta^{-1}(w) \zeta^{-1}(z)\left(1-2^{-w}\right)^{-1}\left(1-2^{-z}\right)^{-1} \\
& \cdot \sum_{d}^{\circ} \mu(d) d^{-w-z} \prod_{p \mid d}\left[\left(1-p^{-w}\right)\left(1-p^{-z}\right)\right]^{-1} \\
= & \zeta_{0}^{-1}(w) \zeta_{0}^{-1}(z) P(w, z) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\zeta_{0}(z):=\left(1-2^{-z}\right) \zeta(z)=\sum_{k}^{\circ} k^{-z}
$$

and the Euler product

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(w, z):=\prod_{p>2}\left[1-\frac{p^{-w-z}}{\left(1-p^{-w}\right)\left(1-p^{-z}\right)}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is abs. conv. on $u, x>0 \& u+x>1$ and so a holomorphic function of $w \& z$ there, and $\zeta(\cdot)$ is the Riemann Zeta function. Hence $M(w, z)$ is holomorphic there except at the zeros of $\zeta(w) \zeta(z)$. We also need

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\prime \prime}(w, z):=\sum_{m}^{\circ} \mu^{\prime \prime}(m) \sum_{k \ell=m} k^{-w} \ell^{-z} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mu^{\prime \prime}(m):=\mu(m) \log ^{2} m, \quad(u, x>1)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
M^{\prime \prime}(w, z) & =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^{2} M(w, z)=: \quad \hat{D}^{2} M \\
& =\hat{D}^{2}(G P)=G^{\prime \prime} P+2 G^{\prime} P^{\prime}+G P^{\prime \prime} \tag{7a}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G:=G(w, z):=\zeta_{0}^{-1}(w) \zeta_{0}^{-1}(z), P:=P(w, z), P^{\prime}:=\hat{D} P, P^{\prime \prime}:=\hat{D}^{2} P \text { etc. } \tag{7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $M^{\prime \prime}(w, z)$ is a holomorphic function of $w \& z$ on $u>0, x>0 \& u+x>1$ except at the zeros of $\zeta(w) \zeta(z)$.

Lemma $3 M(w, z)$ and $M^{\prime \prime}(w, z)$ are holomorphic functions of $w \& z$ on $u \geq$ $1-2 h(v) \& x \geq 1-2 h(y)$ with $h(t):=c[\log (2+|t|)]^{-4 / 5}>0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c<\frac{1}{8} \log 2$ a constant. Also

$$
M^{\prime \prime}(w, z) \ll[\log (2+|v|) \cdot \log (2+|y|)]^{3} \quad \text { there and } \quad M^{\prime \prime}(1,1)=4 B_{2}
$$

Proof: It is known that $\zeta(w) \neq 0$ and $\zeta^{-1}(w) \& \zeta^{\prime}(w) \zeta^{-1}(w) \ll h^{-1}(v)$ as $v \rightarrow \pm \infty$ and $u \geq 1-3 h(v)$, say [as implied by Titchmarsh 4], Th. 3.11 and p.114]. Setting $f(w):=\zeta_{0}^{-1}(w)$ this yields $f^{\prime}(w) \ll h^{-2}(v)$ and $f^{\prime \prime}(w) \ll h^{-3}(v)$ for $u \geq 1-2 h(v)$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $G(w, z)=f(w) f(z)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\prime}=f^{\prime}(w) f(z)+f(w) f^{\prime}(z), \quad G^{\prime \prime}=f^{\prime \prime}(w) f(z)+2 f^{\prime}(w) f^{\prime}(z)+f(w) f^{\prime \prime}(z) \tag{7c}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $G, G^{\prime}, G^{\prime \prime} \ll h^{-3}(v) h^{-3}(y) \ll[\log (2+v) \cdot \log (2+y)]^{3},(v, y \geq 0)$ amply. Also $h(t)<\frac{1}{8}$ for $t \geq 0$ gives $u \geq 3 / 4 \& x \geq 3 / 4$ in the above domain for $w \&$ $z$, and so $P, P^{\prime}$, and $P^{\prime \prime}$ are bounded there, by (6). Now (7a) yields the stated estimate for $M^{\prime \prime}$. Finally, since $\zeta_{0}(w)$ has a simple pole at $w=1$ with residue $1 / 2$, we get

$$
f(1)=0, \quad f^{\prime}(1)=2, \quad \text { and so } G(1,1)=0=G^{\prime}(1,1) \& G^{\prime \prime}(1,1)=8
$$

from our preceeding formulas, and then $M^{\prime \prime}(1,1)=8 P(1,1)=4 B_{2}$ by eqs. (6) and (1); completing the proof of Lemma 3.

Lemma 4 Let $C(w):=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}(1+\cos t) t^{-w} d t$ for $u<1$. Then also

$$
C(w)=\frac{2 \pi^{-w}}{1-w}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{(2 n)!} \frac{\pi^{2 n-w}}{2 n+1-w}=: \pi^{-w} \tilde{C}(w)
$$

and $C(w)+\frac{2 / \pi}{w-1}$ is holomorphic on $u<3$; and $C(w) \ll|v|^{-3}$ for $|u|<3$ \& $|v|>1$.

Proof: Inserting the power series of $\cos t$ and integrating termwise gives the first part of the statement. And three partial integrations yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi C(w) & =\frac{1}{1-w} \int_{0}^{\pi} t^{1-w} \sin t d t=\frac{-1}{(1-w)(2-w)} \int_{0}^{\pi} t^{2-w} \cos t d t \text { for } u<3 \\
& =\frac{1}{(1-w)(2-w)(3-w)}\left(\pi^{3-w}-\int_{0}^{\pi} t^{3-w} \sin t d t\right) \text { for } u<5
\end{aligned}
$$

showing poles at $w=1 \& w=3$ (but not at $w=2$, where $C(w)$ is holomorphic). Then for $|u|<3 \&|v|>1$

$$
|C(w)|<3 \pi^{5}(1+\pi) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{3}(|k-u|+|v|)^{-1}<13 \pi^{5} \cdot|v|^{-3} ; \quad \text { q.e.d. }
$$

Alternatively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{C}(w) & =\int_{0}^{1}(1-\cos \pi x)(1-x)^{-w} d x \\
& =-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \pi^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} B(2 n+1,1-w), \quad(u<1) \\
& =-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(i \pi)^{2 n} \Gamma(1-w)}{\Gamma(1-w+2 n+1)} \\
& =-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(i \pi)^{2 n} \cdot \prod_{k=0}^{2 n}(k+1-w)^{-1} \ll|v|^{-3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We mention that a more natural definition of $C(w)$ without the 1 under the integral would yield $C(w) \ll|v|^{-1}$ only, which is too weak for our applications.

Lemma 5 Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(x, y):=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}(1+\cos t) \cos [(x-y) t] d t \quad \text { for } x>0, y>0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then also

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(x, y)= & \int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(w) x^{-w} d w \int_{(1 / 2)}^{*} \Gamma(\tilde{w}) y^{-\tilde{w}} C(w+\tilde{w}) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w-\tilde{w})\right] d \tilde{w} \\
& +\int_{(1 / 2)}^{*} \Gamma(w) y^{-w} C(w) \cos \frac{\pi w}{2} d w
\end{aligned}
$$

where the individual integrals and the iterated integral are abs. conv.. Here and in the following our notation means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{(a)}^{*} f(w) d w:=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{a-\mathrm{i} \infty}^{a+\mathrm{i} \infty} f(w) d w \quad \& \text { abs. conv.; i.e. } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|f(a+\mathrm{i} v)| d v<\infty . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We have for $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
e^{-\mathrm{i} x}=1+\int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(w)(\mathrm{i} x)^{-w} d w \quad \text { with }( \pm \mathrm{i})^{-w}=e^{\mp \mathrm{i} \pi w / 2} \ll e^{\pi|v| / 2}, \\
e^{-\mathrm{i} x} e^{\mathrm{i} y}=1+\int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(w)\left[(\mathrm{i} x)^{-w}+(-\mathrm{i} y)^{-w}\right] d w \\
\quad+\int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(w) \Gamma(\tilde{w})(\mathrm{i} x)^{-w}(-\mathrm{i} y)^{-\tilde{w}} d w d \tilde{w}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$; and also the double integral is abs. conv. So for $x, y>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos (x-y)= & 1+\int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(w)\left(x^{-w}+y^{-w}\right) \cos \frac{\pi w}{2} d w \\
& +\int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(w) \Gamma(\tilde{w}) x^{-w} y^{-\tilde{w}} \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w-\tilde{w})\right] d w d \tilde{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now with Lemma 4, by abs. conv. of all occurring integrals

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(x, y)= & C(0)+\int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(w)\left(x^{-w}+y^{-w}\right) C(w) \cos \frac{\pi w}{2} d w \\
& +\int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(w) x^{-w} d w \int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(\tilde{w}) y^{-\tilde{w}} C(w+\tilde{w}) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w-\tilde{w})\right] d \tilde{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

and this yields the stated representation of $E(x, y)$ by shifting the line of integration of the last (inner) integral to the right over the pole $\tilde{w}=0$ and then doing the same with the remaining single integral. This is justified using

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma(w) \ll|v|^{u-1 / 2} e^{-\pi|v| / 2}, C(w) \ll|v|^{-3} \quad \text { for }-2<u<3 \&|v|>1 \\
& \quad \text { and } \cos \frac{\pi w}{2} \ll e^{\pi|v| / 2} ; \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{(-3 / 4)} \int_{(\tilde{u})}\left|\Gamma(w) \Gamma(\tilde{w}) C(w+\tilde{w}) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w-\tilde{w})\right]\right| d v d \tilde{v} \\
& \ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(1+|v|)^{-5 / 4}(1+|\tilde{v}|)^{\tilde{u}-1 / 2}(1+|v+\tilde{v}|)^{-3} d v d \tilde{v} \\
& \ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(1+|v|)^{-5 / 4} d v \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(1+|t|)^{-3} d t<\infty \text { for }-3 / 4 \leq \tilde{u} \leq 1 / 2,\left(10^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the abs. convergence of all double integrals and then of all iterated and single integrals involved in this calculation; proving Lemma 5.

## 3 Transformation of the Generating Function

We now introduce the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(s, \delta):=\sum_{n>3} \Lambda(n-1) \Lambda(n+1)\left(n^{2}-1\right)^{-s} e^{-n \delta} \cosh \delta, \quad(s=\sigma+\mathrm{i} t ; \delta>0) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that by Abel's Theorem for power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \searrow 0} T(s, \delta)=T(s, 0):=\sum_{n>3} \Lambda(n-1) \Lambda(n+1)\left(n^{2}-1\right)^{-s} \text { for } \sigma>1 / 2 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Later we use $T(s / 2,0)$, since this differs from $T(s)$ in (1) by a function holomorphic on $\sigma>0$ (at least). By Lemma 1 and always for $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
2 T(s, \delta)= & \sum_{n>3, \text { even }}\left(n^{2}-1\right)^{-s} e^{-n \delta} \cosh \delta \cdot \sum_{m \mid n^{2}-1} \mu^{\prime \prime}(m) \quad\left(\mu^{\prime \prime}(n):=\mu(n) \log ^{2} n\right) \\
= & -3^{-s} e^{-2 \delta} \mu^{\prime \prime}(3) \cosh \delta+ \\
& \sum_{m>0, \text { odd }} \mu^{\prime \prime}(m) \sum_{\substack{n>1, \text { even } \\
n^{2}=1 \text { mod } m}}\left(n^{2}-1\right)^{-s} e^{-n \delta} \cosh \delta \\
= & \left.A(s, \delta)+\sum_{m}^{\circ} \mu^{\prime \prime}(m) R(s, \delta, m), \quad \text { (say }\right) \text { for } \sigma>1 / 2, \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where by eq. (3) (with $n+1=a \ell \& n-1=c k$ ) and by abs. conv.

$$
\begin{align*}
R(s, \delta, m) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \ell=m} \sum_{\substack{a, c \\
a \ell-c k=2}}^{\circ}(a \ell c k)^{-s}\left[e^{-a \ell \delta}+e^{-c k \delta}\right] \quad(\sigma>1 ; m \text { odd }) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \ell=m} m^{-s} \sum_{a, c}^{\circ}(a c)^{-s}\left[e^{-a \ell \delta}+e^{-c k \delta}\right] \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{\mathrm{i} \phi\left(1-\frac{a \ell-c k}{2}\right)} d \phi \\
& =m^{-s} \sum_{k \ell=m} \sum_{a, c}^{\circ}(a c)^{-s} e^{-a \ell \delta} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos \phi \cos \left[\frac{1}{2}(a \ell-c k) \phi\right] d \phi \cdot(14)
\end{align*}
$$

Again for odd squarefree $m>0$ and $\sigma>1$ let now

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{0}(s, \delta, m) & :=m^{-s} \sum_{k \ell=m} \sum_{a, c}^{\circ}(a c)^{-s} e^{-a \ell \delta} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos \left[\frac{1}{2}(a \ell-c k) \phi\right] d \phi  \tag{15}\\
& =m^{-s} \sum_{k \ell=m} \sum_{\substack{a, c \\
a \ell-c k=0}}^{\circ}(a c)^{-s} e^{-a \ell \delta}=m^{-s} \sum_{k \ell=m} \sum_{h}^{\circ}\left(h^{2} m\right)^{-s} e^{-h m \delta} \\
& =m^{-2 s} d(m) \sum_{h}^{\circ} h^{-2 s} e^{-h m \delta}, \quad \text { since here }(k, \ell)=1 \text { and }
\end{align*}
$$

then $a \ell=c k$ implies $a=h k \& c=h \ell$ with odd $h>0$ for odd $a c$, and reverse. Then in analogy to $T(s, \delta)$ in (13) we consider for $\sigma>1 / 2$

$$
\begin{align*}
2 T_{0}(s, \delta) & :=\sum_{m}^{\circ} \mu^{\prime \prime}(m) R_{0}(s, \delta, m)=\sum_{m}^{\circ} \mu^{\prime \prime}(m) d(m) m^{-2 s} \sum_{h}^{\circ} h^{-2 s} e^{-h m \delta} \\
& =\sum_{k}^{\circ} k^{-2 s} e^{-k \delta} \sum_{m \mid k} \mu^{\prime \prime}(m) d(m) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\delta \searrow 0} T_{0}(s / 2, \delta) & =T_{0}(s / 2,0)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k}^{\circ} k^{-s} \sum_{m \mid k} \mu^{\prime \prime}(m) d(m) \quad(\sigma>1) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m}^{\circ} \mu^{\prime \prime}(m) d(m) \sum_{h}^{\circ}(m h)^{-s}=\frac{1}{2} M^{\prime \prime}(s, s) \zeta_{0}(s) \\
& =: \frac{B_{2}}{s-1}+B(s), \quad \text { (say) } \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

by (7) for $w=z=s$, and $B(s)$ is holomorphic on $\sigma \geq 1$ by Lemma 3 .

Combining eqs. (14), (15), and (8) we now obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}(s, \delta, m):=R(s, \delta, m)+R_{0}(s, \delta, m)=m^{-s} \sum_{k \ell=m} \sum_{a, c}^{\circ}(a c)^{-s} e^{-a \ell \delta} E\left(\frac{a \ell}{2}, \frac{c k}{2}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from eqs. (13), (16) and (18)

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 T_{2}(s, \delta):=2 T(s, \delta)+2 T_{0}(s, \delta)-A(s, \delta)=\sum_{m}^{\circ} \mu^{\prime \prime}(m) R_{2}(s, \delta, m) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and all these series are abs. conv. for $\sigma>1$. Using [with notation from (9)]

$$
e^{-x}=\int_{(b)}^{*} \Gamma(\tau) x^{-\tau} d \tau \text { for } x>0 \& b>0 \text { and letting } x=a \ell \delta
$$

we obtain from (18) by allowed exchange of integration and summations

$$
R_{2}(s, \delta, m)=m^{-s} \int_{(b)}^{*} \delta^{-\tau} \Gamma(\tau) \sum_{k \ell=m} \sum_{a, c}^{\circ}(a c)^{-s}(a \ell)^{-\tau} E\left(\frac{a \ell}{2}, \frac{c \ell}{2}\right) d \tau, \quad(\sigma>1)
$$

and then from (19), again by abs. conv. for $\sigma>1$, and taking $b=3 / 2$

$$
\begin{align*}
2 T_{2}(s, \delta) & =\int_{(b)}^{*} \delta^{-\tau} \Gamma(\tau) \sum_{m}^{\circ} \mu^{\prime \prime}(m) m^{-s} \cdot \sum_{k \ell=m} \sum_{a, c}^{\circ}(a c)^{-s}(a \ell)^{-\tau} E\left(\frac{a \ell}{2}, \frac{c k}{2}\right) d \tau \\
& =: \int_{(3 / 2)}^{*} \delta^{-\tau} \Gamma(\tau) D(s, \tau) d \tau \quad(\text { say }) ; \quad(\sigma>1, \delta>0) . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4 Integral Representation of $T(s, \delta)$

Substituting for $E(\cdot, \cdot)$ the two expressions from Lemma 5 in (20) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
D(s, \tau) & :=\sum_{k, \ell}^{\circ} \mu^{\prime \prime}(k \ell) k^{-s} \ell^{-s-\tau} \sum_{a, c}^{\circ} a^{-s-\tau} c^{-s} E\left(\frac{a \ell}{2}, \frac{c k}{2}\right) \\
& =: \quad D_{1}(s, \tau)+D_{2}(s, \tau) \quad(\text { say }), \quad(\sigma>1, \Re e \tau=3 / 2) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where then by definition in $(7)$ and with $L_{0}(w, z):=\zeta_{0}(w) \zeta_{0}(z) M^{\prime \prime}(z, w)$ for $u, x>1$,
$D_{1}(s, \tau)=\int_{(1 / 2)}^{*} \Gamma(w) 2^{w} C(w) \cos \frac{\pi w}{2} L_{0}(s+\tau, s+w) d w,(\sigma>1 / 2, \Re e \tau=3 / 2)$
and

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{2}(s, \tau)= \\
& \qquad \int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(w) 2^{w} d w \int_{(1 / 2)}^{*} \Gamma(\tilde{w}) 2^{\tilde{w}} C(w+\tilde{w}) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w-\tilde{w})\right] L_{0}(s+\tau+w, s+\tilde{w}) d \tilde{w} \tag{21b}
\end{align*}
$$

the exchanges of integration and summations being justified by abs. conv.. Even the last iterated integral is abs. conv., as follows from the estimation ( $10^{\prime}$ ), since $L_{0}$ here is bounded on the lines of integration when $\sigma>1 / 2 \& \Re e \tau=3 / 2$.

Introducing

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(w, z):=\zeta_{2}(w) \zeta_{2}(z) M^{\prime \prime}(w, z) \text { with } \zeta_{2}(w):=2^{w} \zeta_{0}(w)=\left(2^{w}-1\right) \zeta(w) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
L(w, z)=L(z, w)=2^{w+z} L_{0}(w, z)
$$

and the analytic properties of $L(w, z)$ follow from (5), (6), (7a,b) and Lemma 3. Writing
$R_{w}:=\left\{w=u+\mathrm{i} v\right.$ with $u \geq 1-h(v), v \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\left.h(v)=c \cdot[\log (2+|v|)]^{-4 / 5}\right\}$
and $N_{w}:=\partial R_{w}$ for the boundary curve $u=1-h(v),(-\infty<v<\infty)$ of this domain in the $w$-plane (and correspondingly $R_{z}, N_{z}$ in the $z$-plane), then
$L(w, z)$ is holomorphic in each variable on $\left\{R_{w}, w \neq 1\right\} \times\left\{R_{z}, z \neq 1\right\}$ by (22) and Lemma 3 and has simple poles at $w=1$ and at $z=1$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
\underset{(w=1)}{\operatorname{Res}} L(w, z) & =\zeta_{2}(z) M^{\prime \prime}(1, z)=: R(z), \text { say }(z \neq 1) \\
\underset{(z=1)}{\operatorname{Res}} L(w, z) & =R(w) \& \underset{(w=1)}{\operatorname{Res}^{\prime}} R(w)=M^{\prime \prime}(1,1)=4 B_{2} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, $L(w, z)$ is bounded on the vertical lines $\Re e w=a \& \Re e z=b$ when $a, b>1$, and so are $R(w) \& R(z)$. Observing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(z) \ll y^{(1-x) / 2+\epsilon} \text { for } 1 / 2 \leq x \leq 1 \quad \& y>y_{0}>3 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and arbitrarily small $\epsilon>0$, we obtain with Lemma 3

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(z) \ll y^{h(y)+\epsilon} \log ^{3}(2+y) \ll y^{2 \epsilon} \text { for } 1-h(y) \leq x \leq 1 \& y>y_{0} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by (10) and (22), for $\Re e \tilde{w}>1$ and fixed $s$ in $1 / 4<\sigma<3 / 4$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(z-s) L(\tilde{w}, z) C(z-s) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(z-s)\right] \ll y^{x-\sigma-\frac{1}{2}+2 \epsilon-3} \ll y^{-2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1-h(y) \leq x<5 / 4<1+\sigma \& y \gg 1$. This is needed for (21a,b).

To achieve the analytic continuation of $D(s, \tau)$ from (21) \& (21a,b) and thereby of $T_{2}(s, \delta)$ in (20), from $\sigma>1$ to $\sigma \geq 1 / 2$, we shall perform some careful calculations of multiple Mellin-Barnes type integrals. First we elaborate on some implications for iterated integrals of our $*$ notation introduced in eq. (9).

Lemma 6 For suitable functions $f, g$ and $h$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z, w) d v=\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(z, w) d v+h(z)=: G(z)+h(z) \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{*} F(z) d y \quad \& \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}}^{*} h(z) d y \text { both exist. } \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{*} d y \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z, w) d v=\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{*} d y \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(z, w) d v+\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{*} h(z) d y \tag{c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: (b) means (only) that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}|F(z)| d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}} d y\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(z, w) d v\right|<\infty \quad \& \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}}|h(z)| d y<\infty
$$

and this implies with (a) amply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} G(z) d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}}(F(z)-h(z)) d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(z) d y-\int_{\mathbb{R}} h(z) d y \tag{d}
\end{equation*}
$$

But (c) states more, namely that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{*} G(z) d y \text { exists; i.e. } \int_{\mathbb{R}}|G(z)| d y<\infty
$$

and this also follows from (b):

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}|G(z)| d y=\int_{\mathbb{R}}|F(z)-h(z)| d y \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}(|F(z)|+|h(z)|) d y<\infty
$$

Now (d) implies (c). We note that the iterated integrals in (c) need not be abs. conv. This procedure leading from (a) to (c) will be applied repeatedly, where $h(z)$ usually comes from the theorem of residues, and (b) needs to be verified.

## 5 Analytic Continuation of the Integrand

Now from (21a) and (22) for $\xi=3 / 2$, [writing $\tau=\xi+\mathrm{i} \eta$; i.e. $\xi=\Re e \tau$ from here on] and by use of (24)

$$
\begin{align*}
2^{2 s+\tau} & D_{1}(s, \tau) \\
& =\int_{(1 / 2)}^{*} \Gamma(w) L(s+\tau, s+w) C(w) \cos \frac{\pi w}{2} d w \quad(\sigma>1 / 2, \xi=3 / 2) \\
& =\int_{(x)}^{*} \Gamma(z-s) L(s+\tau, z) C(z-s) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(z-s)\right] d z \quad(x=\sigma+1 / 2) \\
& =\int_{N_{z}}^{*} \operatorname{same} \cdot d z+\Gamma(1-s) R(s+\tau) C(1-s) \sin \frac{\pi s}{2}, \quad(1 / 4<\sigma<3 / 4) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

after shifting the contour over the pole at $z=1$, justified by (27) and Lemma 4; and the last result holds for $1 / 4<\sigma<3 / 4$ (at least). Similar, from (21b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 s+\tau} D_{2}(s, \tau)=: \int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(w) D_{3}(w, s, \tau) d w, \quad(\sigma>1 / 2, \xi=3 / 2) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, after substituting $\tilde{w}=z-s$ and with $x=\sigma+1 / 2>1$, on $u=-3 / 4$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{3}(w, s, \tau) \\
& \quad:=\int_{(x)}^{*} \Gamma(z-s) L(s+\tau+w, z) C(w+z-s) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w-z+s)\right] d z \\
& \quad=\int_{N_{z}}^{*} \operatorname{same} \cdot d z+\Gamma(1-s) R(s+\tau+w) C(w+1-s) \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w+s)\right] \tag{29a}
\end{align*}
$$

and this represents a holomorphic function of $s$ on $1 / 4<\sigma<3 / 4$ again. Substituting the last result into (29) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2^{2 s+\tau} D_{2}(s, \tau)= \\
& \quad \int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(w) d w \int_{N_{z}}^{*} \Gamma(z-s) L(s+\tau+w, z) C(w+z-s) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w-z+s)\right] d z \\
& \quad+\Gamma(1-s) D_{4}(s, \tau) \quad(\text { say }), \quad(1 / 2<\sigma<3 / 4, \xi=3 / 2) \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{4}(s, \tau):=\int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(w) R(s+\tau+w) C(w+1-s) \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w+s)\right] d w \\
&=\int_{(1 / 4)}^{*} \text { same } \cdot d w-R(s+\tau) C(1-s) \sin \frac{\pi s}{2} \\
& \text { for } 1 / 4<\sigma<3 / 4 \tag{30a}
\end{align*}
$$

by (10), (24) and Lemma 4, which requires $u<\sigma$ for holomorphy of $C(w+1-s)$.
Now we show that the iterated integral in (30) is abs. conv. at least for $1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4$ and $\xi>5 / 4$. We use the following known estimate (see Chandrasekharan [1], p.69) on $N_{z}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(z) \ll y^{4 h(y) / \log h^{-1}(y)} \log y \quad \text { for } x \geq 1-h(y) \& y>y_{0}>3 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (10) and Lemma 3 since now $\sigma+\xi+u \geq \xi-1 / 4>1$ in (30) and $s$ is fixed,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{(-3 / 4)}|\Gamma(w)| d v \int_{N_{z}}\left|\Gamma(z-s) L(s+\tau+w, z) C(w+z-s) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w-z+s)\right]\right| d y \\
& \ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(1+|v|)^{-\frac{5}{4}} d v \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(1+|y-t|)^{x-\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}|\zeta(z)| \log ^{3}(2+|y|) \cdot(1+|v+y-t|)^{-3} d y \\
& \ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(1+|v|)^{-\frac{5}{4}} d v \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(1+|\tilde{y}|)^{-3} d \tilde{y}<\infty, \quad(1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4, \xi>5 / 4) \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

since here $x=1-h(y)$ and for $|y|>y_{1}(t)>y_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1+|y-t|)^{x-\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}|\zeta(z)| \log ^{3}(2+|y|) \\
& \quad \ll(1+|y|)^{-h(y)+4 h(y) / \log h^{-1}(y)} \log ^{4}(2+|y|) \ll 1
\end{aligned}
$$

as implied by

$$
h(y)=c \cdot[\log (2+|y|)]^{-4 / 5} \& \log h^{-1}(y)>\frac{4}{5} \log \log (2+|y|), \quad|y|>y_{0}
$$

Therefore $D_{2}(s, \tau)$ is represented in (30) also on $1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4$ as a holomorphic function of $s$, when $\xi>5 / 4$.

Using eqs. (21), (28), (30) and (30a) we obtain finally

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2^{2 s+}+ \\
& \quad \int_{N_{z}}^{*} \Gamma(z, \tau)= \\
& \quad+\Gamma(1-s) \cdot \int_{(1 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(w) R(s+\tau+w) C(w+1-s) \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w+s)\right] d w \\
& \quad+\quad H_{2}(s, \tau), \quad(1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4, \xi>5 / 4) \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where from (30)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}(s, \tau):=\int_{(-3 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(w) D_{5}(w, s, \tau) d w \quad(1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4, \xi>5 / 4) \tag{33a}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{5}(w, s, \tau):=\int_{N_{z}}^{*} \Gamma(z-s) L(s+\tau+w, z) C(w+z-s) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w-z+s)\right] d z \tag{33b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we repeat that even the double integral associated with $(33 a, b)$ is abs. conv.

## 6 Analytic Continuation of $T(s, \delta)$

We now consider again $T_{2}(s, \delta)$, which is represented in (20) for $\sigma>1$. Inserting $D(s, \tau)$ from (21) and (21a, b) we obtain a representation of $T_{2}(s, \delta)$ as a holomorphic function of $s$ on $\sigma>1 / 2$, since $D_{1}(s, \tau) \& D_{2}(s, \tau)$ are bounded on $\sigma \geq \sigma_{0}>1 / 2$ and $\xi=3 / 2$ independent of $t$ and $\eta$. Then restricting $s$ to $1 / 2<\sigma<3 / 4$ we can replace $D_{1}(s, \tau) \& D_{2}(s, \tau)$ according to (28) \& (30) and finally their sum $D(s, \tau)$ according to (33), in (20) and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
2^{2 s+1} T_{2}\left(s, \delta^{\prime}\right) & =\int_{(3 / 2)}^{*} \delta^{-\tau} \Gamma(\tau) 2^{2 s+\tau} D(s, \tau) d \tau \quad\left(\delta^{\prime}:=\delta / 2>0\right) \\
& =F_{0}(s, \delta)+\Gamma(1-s) F_{1}(s, \delta)+F_{2}(s, \delta), \quad(1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4) \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{0}(s, \delta):= \\
& \int_{(3 / 2)}^{*} \delta^{-\tau} \Gamma(\tau) d \tau \int_{N_{z}}^{*} \Gamma(z-s) L(s+\tau, z) C(z-s) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(z-s)\right] d z  \tag{34a}\\
& F_{1}(s, \delta):= \\
& \int_{(3 / 2)}^{*} \delta^{-\tau} \Gamma(\tau) d \tau \int_{(1 / 4)}^{*} \Gamma(w) R(s+\tau+w) C(1+w-s) \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w+s)\right] d w,(34 \mathrm{~b}) \\
& F_{2}(s, \delta):=\int_{(3 / 2)}^{*} \delta^{-\tau} \Gamma(\tau) H_{2}(s, \tau) d \tau, \quad(1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4) \tag{34c}
\end{align*}
$$

with $H_{2}(s, \delta)$ from (33a,b). We note that here also the associated double integrals are abs. conv., and so is even the triple integral associated with (34c), as is visible by using (32); thus allowing $s$ to be also on $\sigma=1 / 2$.

## 7 The Limit of $F_{1}(s, \delta)$ as $\delta \searrow 0$

Next we study the behavior of $T_{2}\left(s, \delta^{\prime}\right)$ as $\delta \searrow 0$. Since $F_{0}(s, \delta)$ will cancel out later we begin with $F_{1}(s, \delta)$. Restricting $s$ again to $1 / 2<\sigma<3 / 4$ we can shift
the contour of the inner integral in (34b) to $u=1 / 2$; [i.e. keeping it to the left of the pole $w=s$ of $C(1+w-s)]$. The resulting iterated integral still is abs. conv. and so

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}(s, \delta)=\int_{(3 / 2)}^{*} \delta^{-\tau} \Gamma(\tau) H_{1}(s, \tau) d \tau \text { for } \sigma>1 / 2 \text { with }  \tag{35}\\
& H_{1}(s, \tau):=\int_{(1 / 2)}^{*} R(s+\tau+w) \Gamma(w) C(1-s+w) \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(s+w)\right] d w . \tag{35a}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from the definition of $R(z)$ in (24) and by abs. conv. of the integral in (35a) that $H_{1}(s, \tau)$ is for $s$ on $\sigma>1 / 2$ a holomorphic \& bounded function of $\tau$ on the half-plane $\Re e \tau=\xi>1 / 2-\sigma$. Therefore, when $\sigma=1 / 2+2 \epsilon$ say, we can shift the contour in (35) from $\xi=3 / 2$ over the pole $\tau=0$ to $\xi=-\epsilon<0$ and obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(s, \delta)=\int_{(-\epsilon)}^{*} \delta^{-\tau} \Gamma(\tau) H_{1}(s, \tau) d \tau+H_{1}(s, 0), \quad(\sigma=1 / 2+2 \epsilon<3 / 4) \tag{35b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(s):=\lim _{\delta \searrow 0} F_{1}(s, \delta)=H_{1}(s, 0) \text { for } 1 / 2<\sigma<3 / 4 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, substituting $w=z-s$ in (35a) and using (24) for the residue at the pole $z=1$ of $R(z)$, we get after shifting the contour to $N_{z}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{1}(s, 0) & =\int_{(x)}^{*} R(z) \Gamma(z-s) C(1-2 s+z) \sin \frac{\pi z}{2} d z, \quad x=1 / 2+\sigma>1 \\
& =M^{\prime \prime}(1,1) \Gamma(1-s) C(2-2 s)+G_{1}(s), \quad(1 / 2<\sigma<3 / 4)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}(s):=\int_{N_{z}}^{*} R(z) \Gamma(z-s) C(1-2 s+z) \sin \frac{\pi z}{2} d z, \quad(1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

represents a holomorphic function of $s$ even on $1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4$, by Lemma 4 ; and we recall that $N_{z}=\{z=1-h(y)+\mathrm{i} y,-\infty<y<\infty\}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(s)=4 B_{2} \Gamma(1-s) C(2-2 s)+G_{1}(s), \quad(1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4, s \neq 1 / 2) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 8 The Limit of $F_{2}(s, \delta)$ as $\delta \searrow 0$

Next we study $F_{2}(s, \delta)$ given in (34c) with (33a,b) for $1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4$ and $\delta>0$, where the associated triple integral conv. abs. We keep $s$ and $\delta$ fixed in the following unless specified otherwise. Replacing $w$ by $w-s$ in (33a) and inserting this in (34c) we obtain after an allowed exchange of integrations

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}(s, \delta)=\int_{(u)}^{*} \Gamma(w-s) d w \int_{(3 / 2)}^{*} \delta^{-\tau} \Gamma(\tau) D_{5}(w-s, s, \tau) d \tau, \quad u=\sigma-3 / 4 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

To enable working with abs. conv. integrals we use the fact that

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_{(u)}^{*} e^{\epsilon w^{2}} F(w) d w \text { exists and equals } \int_{(u)}^{*} F(w) d w
$$

if the latter integral exists in the sense of (9); i.e. if it conv. abs. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}(s, \delta)=\lim _{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_{(u)}^{*} e^{\epsilon w^{2}} \Gamma(w-s) K(w, s, \delta) d w, \quad u=\sigma-3 / 4 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(w, s, \delta):=\int_{(3 / 2)}^{*} \delta^{-\tau} \Gamma(\tau) D_{6}(w, s, \tau) d \tau, \quad D_{6}(w, s, \tau):=D_{5}(w-s, s, \tau) \tag{40a}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (33b) follows that $D_{6}(w, s, \tau)$ is for every $\tau$ on $\xi=\Re e \tau=3 / 2$ a holomorphic function of $w$ on the strip: $-1 / 2=1-\xi<u<2 \sigma$; then so is $K(w, s, \delta)$, [observing the properties of $L(\tau+w, z)$ and $C(w+z-2 s)]$. Noting $\left|\cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w-z)\right]\right| \leq e^{\frac{\pi}{2}(|v|+|y|)}$ and $\Gamma(z-s) \ll|y|^{x-\sigma-1 / 2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|y|}$ as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that $D_{6}(w, s, \tau) \ll e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|v|}$ and also $K(w, s, \delta) \ll e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|v|}$ as $|v| \rightarrow \infty$ in $-1 / 4 \leq \sigma-3 / 4 \leq u \leq u_{1}<2 \sigma$ with $u_{1}:=\sigma+1 / 8$, say. Now we can shift the contour of the integral in (40) from $u=\sigma-3 / 4$ to the right over the pole $w=s$ of $\Gamma(w-s)$ to $u_{1}=\sigma+1 / 8$ and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{(u)}^{*} e^{\epsilon w^{2}} \Gamma(w-s) K(w, s, \delta) d w & =\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\left(u_{1}\right)} \text { same } \cdot d w-e^{\epsilon s^{2}} K(s, s, \delta)(\text { for } \epsilon \geq 0) \\
& =\int_{\left(u_{1}\right)}^{*} \text { Same } \cdot d w-e^{\epsilon s^{2}} F_{0}(s, \delta), \text { for } \epsilon>0
\end{aligned}
$$

the last by (40a), (33b) and (34a). Hence by (40)

$$
\begin{align*}
F(s, \delta) & :=F_{0}(s, \delta)+F_{2}(s, \delta) \\
& =\lim _{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_{\left(u_{1}\right)}^{*} e^{\epsilon w^{2}} \Gamma(w-s) K(w, s, \delta) d w, \quad u_{1}=\sigma+1 / 8 \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Next substituting $\tau=\tau^{\prime}-w, d \tau=d \tau^{\prime}$ in (40a) yields (for $u=u_{1}$, say)

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(w, s, \delta)=\int_{(\xi)}^{*} \delta^{w-\tau} \Gamma(\tau-w) D_{7}(w, s, \tau) d \tau, \quad \xi=u+3 / 2 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where with (33b)

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{7}(w, s, \tau) & :=D_{6}(w, s, \tau-w) \\
& =\int_{N_{z}}^{*} L(\tau, z) \Gamma(z-s) C(w+z-2 s) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w-z)\right] d z \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

By (22) we can write $D_{7}(w, s, \tau)=: \zeta_{2}(\tau) D_{8}(w, s, \tau)$, where $D_{8}$ is a holomorphic function of $\tau=\xi+\mathrm{i} \eta$ on $\xi \geq 1-h(\eta)$ for every $w$ on $u=u_{1}<2 \sigma$, by Lemma
3. Using also the estimate of $M^{\prime \prime}(\tau, z)$ and (25) we can shift the contour of the integral in (42) from $\xi=u+3 / 2$ to the left over the pole $\tau=1$ of $\zeta_{2}(\tau)$ to $N_{\tau}$, and observing that $u_{1}=\sigma+1 / 8<7 / 8<1-h(0) \leq 1-h(\eta) \leq \xi$, [i.e. that $\Gamma(\tau-w)$ for $w$ on $u=u_{1}$, remains holomorphic resp. $\tau$ in the region needed for the shift] we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(w, s, \delta)=\int_{N_{\tau}}^{*} \delta^{w-\tau} \Gamma(\tau-w) \zeta_{2}(\tau) D_{8}(w, s, \tau) d \tau+\delta^{w-1} \Gamma(1-w) D_{8}(w, s, 1) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $w$ on $u=u_{1}$; and from (43) by (24)

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{8}(w, s, 1)=\int_{N_{z}}^{*} R(z) \Gamma(z-s) C(w+z-2 s) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w-z)\right] d z \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting the integral in (41) as $J(s, \delta, \epsilon)$ and inserting $K$ from (44) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(s, \delta, \epsilon)= & \int_{\left(u_{1}\right)}^{*} e^{\epsilon w^{2}} \Gamma(w-s) K_{0}(w, s, \delta) d w \\
& +\int_{\left(u_{1}\right)}^{*} e^{\epsilon w^{2}} \delta^{w-1} \Gamma(w-s) \Gamma(1-w) D_{8}(w, s, 1) d w
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\epsilon>0$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{0}(w, s, \delta):=\int_{N_{\tau}}^{*} \delta^{w-\tau} \Gamma(\tau-w) D_{7}(w, s, \tau) d \tau \quad(u<1-h(0)) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 6 , since the second integral for $J$ conv. abs.; and even for $\epsilon=0$, on account of the two $\Gamma$-factors. Hence from (41)

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s, \delta)=\lim _{\epsilon \searrow 0} J(s, \delta, \epsilon)=\lim _{\epsilon \searrow 0} J_{0}(s, \delta, \epsilon)+F_{3}(s, \delta), \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(s, \delta, \epsilon):=\int_{(u)}^{*} e^{\epsilon w^{2}} \Gamma(w-s) K_{0}(w, s, \delta) d w, \quad u=u_{1}, \epsilon>0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{3}(s, \delta):=\int_{(u)}^{*} \delta^{w-1} \Gamma(w-s) \Gamma(1-w) D_{8}(w, s, 1) d w, \quad u=\sigma+1 / 8 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next upon inserting $K_{0}$ from (46) in (48) for $\epsilon>0$ we have an abs. conv. iterated integral and may exchange integrations, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(s, \delta, \epsilon)=\int_{N_{\tau}}^{*} d \tau \int_{(u)}^{*} \delta^{w-\tau} \Gamma(\tau-w) e^{\epsilon w^{2}} \Gamma(w-s) D_{7}(w, s, \tau) d w \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=\sigma+1 / 8<1-h(0) \leq \xi$ for $\tau$ on $N_{\tau}$; [noting that $\left|e^{\epsilon w^{2}}\right|=e^{\epsilon\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right)}$, and by (43), (22) and Lemma 3 that $D_{7}(w, s, \tau) \ll e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|v|}|\zeta(\tau)| \log ^{3}(2+|\eta|)$ and
using (31) say, for justification]. Finally we may shift the contour of the inner integral in (50) to the right over the pole $w=\tau$ of $\Gamma(\tau-w)$ to $u=2 \sigma \geq 1$, observing that $D_{7}(w, s, \tau)$ of (43) represents a holomorphic function of $w$ on $u \leq 2 \sigma$, since then $z+w-2 s$ remains to the left of the pole $z=1+2 s-w$ of $C(z+w-2 s)$ as $z$ traverses $N_{z}$. This yields for $\epsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(s, \delta, \epsilon)=J_{1}(s, \delta, \epsilon)+\int_{N_{\tau}}^{*} e^{\epsilon \tau^{2}} \Gamma(\tau-s) D_{7}(\tau, s, \tau) d \tau, \quad 1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}(s, \delta, \epsilon):=\int_{N_{\tau}}^{*} d \tau \int_{(2 \sigma)}^{*} \delta^{w-\tau} \Gamma(\tau-w) e^{\epsilon w^{2}} \Gamma(w-s) D_{7}(w, s, \tau) d w \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

since each of these integrals is abs. conv. indeed, by the estimations noted below (50). We now need our

Main Lemma $\lim _{\epsilon \backslash 0} \int_{N_{\tau}}^{*} e^{\epsilon \tau^{2}} \Gamma(\tau-s) D_{7}(\tau, s, \tau) d \tau$ exists for $1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4$ and equals
$H(s):=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{N_{\tau}} \Gamma(\tau-s) d \tau \int_{N_{z}}^{*} L(\tau, z) \Gamma(z-s) C(\tau+z-2 s) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w-z)\right] d z$
representing a holomorphic function of $s$ on $1 / 2<\sigma<3 / 4$, which is continuous also on $1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4$.

Temporarily deferring the proof of this lemma we use it to infer from (47) and (51) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s, \delta)=\lim _{\epsilon \searrow 0} J_{1}(s, \delta, \epsilon)+H(s)+F_{3}(s, \delta) \text { for } 1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4 \& \delta>0 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 9 The Limit of $T(s, \delta)$ as $\delta \searrow 0$

Observing the definition of $F(s, \delta)$ in (41) we finally obtain from (34)

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2 s+1} T_{2}\left(s, \delta^{\prime}\right)=F(s, \delta)+\Gamma(1-s) F_{1}(s, \delta), \quad\left(1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4, \delta^{\prime}=\delta / 2>0\right) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the behavior of $F_{1}(s, \delta)$ when $\delta \searrow 0$ we already have (36) with (38). Again restricting $s$ to $1 / 2<\sigma<3 / 4$ and setting $b:=\sigma-1 / 2$ we have from (52)

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \searrow 0} J_{1}(s, \delta, \epsilon)=\delta^{2 b} \lim _{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_{N_{\tau}}^{*} d \tau \int_{(0)}^{*} \delta^{1+z-\tau} \Gamma(\tau-w) e^{\epsilon w^{2}} \Gamma(w-s) D_{7}(w, s, \tau) d z
$$

with $w=2 \sigma+z$, (the limit exists by the foregoing) and here $\Re e(1+z-\tau)=$ $1-\xi=h(\eta)>0$. Since $b>0$ this yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \searrow 0} \lim _{\epsilon \searrow 0} J_{1}(s, \delta, \epsilon)=0 \text { for } 1 / 2<\sigma<3 / 4 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

for use in (54). Turning to $F_{3}(s, \delta)$ given in (49) we can shift the contour of the integral from $u=\sigma+1 / 8<1$ to the right over the pole $w=1$ of $\Gamma(1-w)$ to $u=2 \sigma=1+2 b>1$, since $D_{8}(w, s, 1)$ in (45) is holom. on $u \leq 2 \sigma$, in particular. So

$$
F_{3}(s, \delta)=\Gamma(1-s) D_{8}(1, s, 1)+\delta^{2 b} \int_{(1+2 b)}^{*} \delta^{\mathrm{i} v} \Gamma(w-s) \Gamma(1-w) D_{8}(w, s, 1) d w
$$

and then

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{3}(s) & :=\lim _{\delta \searrow 0} F_{3}(s, \delta) \\
& =\Gamma(1-s) D_{8}(1, s, 1)=\Gamma(1-s) G_{1}(s) \quad \text { for } 1 / 2<\sigma<3 / 4 \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

the last by comparing (45) for $w=1$ with (37). Now from (54) to (57) follows

$$
\begin{align*}
2^{2 s+1} T_{2}(s, 0) & =H(s)+F_{3}(s)+\Gamma(1-s) F_{1}(s) \quad(1 / 2<\sigma<3 / 4) \\
& =4 B_{2} \Gamma^{2}(1-s) C(2-2 s)+2 \Gamma(1-s) G_{1}(s)+H(s) \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

## 10 Proof of Theorem 1

Returning to $T(s, \delta)$ of (11) we obtain from (19) for $\delta=0$ and by (58) \& (17)

$$
\begin{align*}
T(s, 0)= & T_{2}(s, 0)-T_{0}(s, 0)+\frac{1}{2} A(s, 0) \\
= & 2^{1-2 s} B_{2} \Gamma^{2}(1-s) C(2-2 s)+2^{-2 s} \Gamma(1-s) G_{1}(s)+2^{-1-2 s} H(s) \\
& -\frac{B_{2}}{2 s-1}-B(2 s)+\frac{1}{2} A(s, 0), \quad(1 / 2<\sigma<3 / 4) \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

and here by Lemma 4 the term containing $C(2-2 s)$ has a pole at $s=1 / 2$ with principal part $2 B_{2} /(2 s-1), G_{1}(s)$ defined in (37) is holomorphic even on $1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4, H(s)$ defined in (53) is holomorphic on $1 / 2<\sigma<3 / 4$ and continuous on $1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4, B(2 s)$ defined in (17) is holomorphic on $\sigma \geq 1 / 2$ and $A(s, 0)=3^{-s} \log ^{2} 3$ from (13). Hence we can rewrite (59) as

$$
T(s, 0)=\frac{B_{2}}{2 s-1}+F(s), \quad(1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4)
$$

where $F(s)$ is holomorphic on $1 / 2<\sigma<3 / 4$ and continuous on $1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4$. Next, by (1) and (12), for $\sigma>1$ at first,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(s):=T(s / 2,0)-T(s)=\sum_{n>3} \Lambda(n-1) \Lambda(n+1) n^{-s}\left[\left(1-n^{-2}\right)^{-s / 2}-1\right] \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this series conv. abs. for $\sigma>-1$ and thus represents a holomorphic function there. Finally (60) and (59') yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}(s)=T(s)-\frac{B_{2}}{s-1}=F(s / 2)-f(s) \quad \text { for } 1 \leq \sigma<3 / 2 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this represents a continuous function of $s$ also on $\sigma=1$, in particular, thereby completing the proof of Theorem 1.

## 11 Proof of the Main Result

Theorem 1 supplies the prerequisites for an application of the complex Tauberian theorem of N. Wiener and S. Ikehara to $T(s)$ of (1). Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}(x):=\sum_{3<n \leq x} \Lambda(n-1) \Lambda(n+1) \quad(x \geq 4), \quad T^{*}(x):=0 \quad(x<4) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have by partial summation

$$
T(s)=s \int_{1}^{\infty} T^{*}(x) x^{-s-1} d x=s \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s u} T^{*}\left(e^{u}\right) d u \quad \text { for } \sigma>1
$$

and $T^{*}(x)$ is non-negative and non-decreasing for increasing $x>1$. Now the Wiener-Ikehara Theorem [7,p.233] or [2,p.481] and Theorem 1 imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}(x)=B_{2} x+o(x) \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \text {; i.e. } \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-1} T^{*}(x)=B_{2} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $3<n \leq N$ and $N>5$ we note that $\Lambda(n-1) \Lambda(n+1) \neq 0$ only if $n-1=p^{k}$ $\& n+1=q^{\ell}$ with odd primes $p \& q$ and $k, \ell$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$, and then $p^{k}<N$ and $q^{\ell} \leq N+1$ imply $p<N, k<\log N$, and $q \leq N+1, \ell<\log N$. So from (62) for $N>5$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{*}(N)=\sum_{\substack{k, \ell \geq 1 \\ 8 \leq p^{k}+q^{\ell} \leq 2 N, q^{\ell}-p^{k}=2}} \sum_{p, q} \log p \log q=\sum_{p<N} \sum_{\substack{q \\ q-p=2}} \log p \log q+R(N) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \& q$ stand for odd primes and

$$
\begin{align*}
R(N) & :=\sum_{\substack{\ell>1 \\
q^{\ell} \leq N+1, p=q^{\ell}-2}} \log p \log q+\sum_{\substack{k>1 \\
\ell \geq 1}} \sum_{p, q} \log p \log q \\
& \leq(\log N)^{2}\left(\sum_{\ell \geq 2} \sum_{p^{\ell}<q^{\ell}=q^{k}+2} 1+\sum_{k \geq 2} \sum_{q^{k}<N+1} 1\right) \ll N^{1 / 2}(\log N)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now (63), (64) and (64a) yield our main result; i.e.
Theorem 2

$$
T_{2}(N):=\sum_{\substack{p<N \\ p \& p+2 \text { both prime }}} \log p \log (p+2)=B_{2} N+o(N) \text { as } N \rightarrow \infty
$$

## 12 Proof of the Main Lemma

We have still to prove the Main Lemma (used without proof in the derivation of Theorem 1). To this end we shall prove the existence (i.e. conditional convergence) of the iterated integral in (53) and, setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(s, \epsilon):=\int_{N_{w}}^{*} e^{\epsilon w^{2}} \Gamma(w-s) D_{7}(w, s, w) d w \quad \text { for } 1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4 \& \epsilon>0 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

that $J(s, \epsilon) \rightarrow H(s)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and that $H(s)$ has the properties stated under (53). From here on we fix $s$ and $\epsilon$ and sometimes omit $s$ from the notation. Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(s, \epsilon)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\epsilon w^{2}} b(v) d v+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\epsilon \bar{w}^{2}} b(-v) d v=: J_{1}(\epsilon)+J_{2}(\epsilon), \quad(\epsilon>0) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(v):=\Gamma(w-s) D_{7}(w, s, w) \text { on } w=w(v):=1-h(v)+\mathrm{i} v ; \text { (i.e. } w \text { on } N_{w} \text { ) } \tag{66a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$h(-v)=h(v)$ as in (23), and $D_{7}$ is given in (43) with $\tau$ replaced by $w$; and so, using also (22)

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{7}(w, s, w) & =\zeta_{2}(w) D(w) \\
D(w) & :=\int_{N_{z}}^{*} M^{\prime \prime}(w, z) \zeta_{2}(z) \Gamma(z-s) C(w+z-2 s) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w-z)\right] d z .(66 \mathrm{~b})
\end{aligned}
$$

1. At first we assume (and prove later) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} b(v) d v=: 2 \pi J_{1}(0) \text { and } \int_{0}^{\infty} b(-v) d v=: 2 \pi J_{2}(0) \text { both exist. } \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting

$$
E(v, \epsilon):=e^{\epsilon w^{2}}=e^{-\epsilon\left(v^{2}-u^{2}-2 \mathrm{i} u v\right)} \text { and } B(x):=\int_{0}^{x} b(v) d v \text { for } w=w(v)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{x} E(v, \epsilon) b(v) d v & =E(x, \epsilon) B(x)-\int_{0}^{x} E^{\prime}(v, \epsilon) B(v) d v \\
\int_{0}^{x} E^{\prime}(v, \epsilon) d v & =E(x, \epsilon)-E(0, \epsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{x} e^{\epsilon w^{2}} b(v) d v-E(0, \epsilon) B(\infty)= \\
& \quad E(x, \epsilon)[B(x)-B(\infty)]+\int_{0}^{x} E^{\prime}(v, \epsilon)[B(\infty)-B(v)] d v \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

with $B(\infty)=2 \pi J_{1}(0)$. Also, for $v \geq 0,0<\epsilon<1$ and $0<a<x$

$$
\begin{gathered}
|E(v, \epsilon)| \leq e^{-\epsilon v^{2}+1} \leq e \text { and } \\
\int_{a}^{x}\left|E^{\prime}(v, \epsilon)\right| d v \leq 2 e \int_{0}^{\infty} \epsilon e^{-\epsilon v^{2}}(1+v)\left(1-h^{\prime}(v)\right) d v=O(1) \text { as } \epsilon \searrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore each term on the right hand side of (67) converges as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and even uniformly for $\epsilon$ in $[0,1]$. Hence (67) for $x=\infty$ yields

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \searrow 0} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\epsilon w^{2}} b(v) d v=E(0,0) B(\infty)=2 \pi J_{1}(0)
$$

i.e. $J_{1}(\epsilon) \rightarrow J_{1}(0)$, and likewise $J_{2}(\epsilon) \rightarrow J_{2}(0)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and so by (66) and (66a)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \searrow 0} J(s, \epsilon)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} b(v) d v=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{N_{w}} \Gamma(w-s) D_{7}(w, s, w) d w=H(s) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

the last by (43) and (53) with $\tau$ replaced by $w$. Thus, the assumption A* [which is equivalent to assuming existence of the integral $H(s)$ ], implies with (65) that $J(s, \epsilon) \rightarrow H(s)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.
2. We turn to proving the validity of $\mathrm{A}^{*}$. For this by $(66 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ we need a more detailed description of $D(w)$. From (7a,b,c)

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\prime \prime}(w, z)=f^{\prime \prime}(w) B_{0}+f^{\prime}(w) B_{1}+f(w) B_{2}, \quad f(w):=\zeta_{0}^{-1}(w), B_{k}=B_{k}(w, z) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{0}:=P f(z), B_{1}:=2 P f^{\prime}(z)+2 P^{\prime} f(z), B_{2}:=P f^{\prime \prime}(z)+2 P^{\prime} f^{\prime}(z)+P^{\prime \prime} f(z) \tag{69a}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
P & =P(w, z) \text { from }(6), \quad P^{\prime}:=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) P(w, z) \text { and } \\
P^{\prime \prime} & :=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^{2} P(w, z) \tag{69b}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting

$$
\begin{align*}
Q & =Q(w, z ; s) \\
& :=\zeta_{2}(z) \Gamma(z-s) C(w+z-2 s) \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2}(w-z)\right], \quad(1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4) \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

we get from (66b) and (69)

$$
\begin{align*}
D(w) & =f(w) \int_{N_{z}}^{*} B_{2} Q d z+f^{\prime}(w) \int_{N_{z}}^{*} B_{1} Q d z+f^{\prime \prime}(w) \int_{N_{z}}^{*} B_{0} Q d z \text { on } N_{w} \\
& =: D_{0}(w)+D_{1}(w)+D_{2}(w) \text { with } D_{k}(w):=f^{(k)}(w) J_{2-k}(w), \text { say. } \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

To prove $A^{*}$ it suffices to show convergence of the integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{k}^{*}: \rightarrow \int_{N_{w}} \Gamma(w-s) \zeta_{2}(w) D_{k}(w) d w \quad(k=0,1,2 ; 1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4) \tag{71a}
\end{equation*}
$$

since this by $(66 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ and (71) implies the existence of $H(s)$. To estimate $D_{k}(w)$ on $N_{w}$ we observe that (as in the proof of Lemma 3) $P, P^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime \prime}$ of (69b) are abs. bounded on $N_{w} \times N_{z}$, and that

$$
f(z), f^{\prime}(z) \text { and } f^{\prime \prime}(z) \ll h^{-3}(y) \text { on } N_{z} \text { and so } B_{k} \ll h^{-3}(y)
$$

by (69a). Then by (71), using (31) and (10) to estimate $Q$ of (70) on $N_{w} \times N_{z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{k}(w) \ll h^{-3}(v) \int_{N_{z}} h^{-3}(y)|Q(w, z ; s)| d y \ll e^{\frac{\pi}{2}|v|} h^{-3}(v) \text { for }|v| \gg 1 \tag{71b}
\end{equation*}
$$

similar to the derivation of (32), for $k=0,1,2$. Then, again by (10) and (31),

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma(w-s) \zeta_{2}(w) D_{k}(w) & \ll(1+|v-t|)^{u-\sigma-1 / 2}(2+|v|)^{4 h(v) / \log h^{-1}(v)} \log ^{4}(2+|v|) \\
& \ll(2+|v|)^{-h(v)[1-5 / \log \log (2+|v|)]} e^{4 \log \log (2+|v|)} \\
& \ll e^{-c_{1}[\log (2+|v|)]^{1 / 5}} \ll[\log (2+|v|)]^{-4}, \quad\left(\text { on } N_{w}\right) \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

say, for $|v| \gg 1$ (and fixed $s$ ) and $k=0,1,2$. This shows a rather weak decay of the integrand of $J_{k}^{*}$ and indicates that the oscillatory behavior of the integrand also needs to be exploited for the convergence proof of $J_{k}^{*}$.
3. It is known that (for fixed $s=\sigma+\mathrm{it}$ and $1 / 4<\sigma<3 / 4<u<5 / 4$, say)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arg \Gamma(w-s)=(v-t) \log v-v+\frac{\pi}{2}(u-\sigma-1 / 2)+O\left(v^{-1}\right) \text { as } v \gg 1 \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta_{2}(w) f(w)=2^{w}, \quad \zeta_{2}(w) f^{\prime}(w)=-2^{w} \frac{\zeta_{0}^{\prime}}{\zeta_{0}}(w)=-2^{w}\left(\frac{\log 2}{2^{w}-1}+\frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(w)\right) \\
& \zeta_{2}(w) f^{\prime \prime}(w)=2^{w}\left[\frac{\zeta_{0}^{\prime}}{\zeta_{0}}(w)\right]^{2}-2^{w}\left[\frac{\zeta_{0}^{\prime}}{\zeta_{0}}(w)\right]^{\prime} \& \frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(w)=Z(w)-S(w),(7 \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(w):=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}\left(1+\frac{w}{2}\right)-b+\frac{1}{w-1} \& Z(w):=\sum_{\rho}\left(\frac{1}{w-\rho}+\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \tag{74a}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. 4], p. 31). We shall use (74) and (71) to decompose the integrals of (71a) for $k=1 \& 2$; since it seems difficult to derive useful estimates of $\psi_{k}(v):=$ $\arg f^{(k)}(w)$ and $\psi_{k}^{\prime}(v)$ for $w$ on $N_{w}$; while $J_{0}^{*}$ can be treated directly. But first we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0}(w):=S(w)-\frac{\log 2}{2^{w}-1} ; \text { so that } \frac{\zeta_{0}^{\prime}}{\zeta_{0}}(w)=Z(w)-S_{0}(w) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}(z)=\log z+O\left(|z|^{-1}\right) \text { as }|z| \rightarrow \infty \text { in } x \geq 0 \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 S_{0}(w)=\log |2+w|+\mathrm{i} \arg (2+w)+O(1) \text { as }|v| \rightarrow \infty \& u>1 / 2 \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\Re e S_{0}(w)>\frac{1}{5} \log \left(4+v^{2}\right) \text { and } \arg S_{0}(w)=O(1) \text { for }|v| \gg 1
$$

Also, since we may differentiate in (76), $S_{0}^{\prime}(w)=O(1)$ here, by (75). Now if, for example, $\log f(z)=U+\mathrm{i} V, V=\arg f(z)$, (where $f(z)$ is holomorphic and $\log f(z)$ is defined) then for the partial derivatives

$$
U_{x}+\mathrm{i} V_{x}=f^{\prime}(z) / f(z) \quad \text { and } \quad V_{y}=U_{x} ; \quad \text { so } \quad\left|V_{x}\right|,\left|V_{y}\right| \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z) / f(z)\right|
$$

Applying this with $f=S_{0}$ and taking $w=1-h(v)+\mathrm{i} v=w(v)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}(v):=\arg S_{0}(w)=O(1) \text { and } \alpha_{0}^{\prime}(v)=o(1) \text { on } N_{w} \text { as }|v| \rightarrow \infty \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we consider $Z(w)$ in the region $u>1-3 h(v)$, where it is holomorphic,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(w)=S(w)+\frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(w) \ll \log v+h^{-1}(v) \ll \log v \text { for } v>v_{0}>20 \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. the proof of Lemma 3) and $Z(\bar{w})=\overline{Z(w)}$. Also, with $\rho=\beta+\mathrm{i} \gamma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re e Z(w)=\sum_{\rho}\left(\frac{u-\beta}{|w-\rho|^{2}}+\frac{\beta}{|\rho|^{2}}\right) \geq \sum_{\rho} \frac{\beta}{|\rho|^{2}}=: b_{1}>0 \text { for } u \geq 1 \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using that here $\beta<1-3 h(\gamma)$ for the zeros $\rho$ of $\zeta(w)$ we remark that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 14<|\gamma| \leq v^{a} \text { with } a=3 / 2 \text { implies } \log (2+|\gamma|)<a \log (2+v) \\
& \text { i.e. } h(v)<a^{4 / 5} h(\gamma)<a h(\gamma) \text { and } 1-3 h(\gamma)<1-2 h(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if $u \geq 1-2 h(v)$ and $|\gamma| \leq v^{a}$, then $u-\beta>0$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\rho} \frac{u-\beta}{|w-\rho|^{2}}>\sum_{|\gamma|>v^{a}} \frac{u-\beta}{|w-\rho|^{2}}>\sum_{|\gamma|>v^{a}} \frac{-2 h(v)}{(v-\gamma)^{2}}, \quad\left(v>v_{1}, a=3 / 2\right) \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\gamma>v^{a}}(v-\gamma)^{-2} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v^{a}+n<\gamma \leq v^{a}+n+1}(\gamma-v)^{-2} \leq A \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(v^{a}+n-v\right)^{-2} \log \left(v^{a}+n\right) \\
& \leq A\left[\left(v^{a}-v\right)^{-2} \log v^{a}+\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(v^{a}-v+x\right)^{-2} \log \left(v^{a}+x\right) d x\right] \\
& \leq 3 A\left(v^{a}-v\right)^{-1} \log v^{a}<6 A v^{-a} \log v
\end{aligned}
$$

(cf. 4], p. 184, say) and similarly

$$
\sum_{\gamma<-v^{a}}(v-\gamma)^{-2}=\sum_{-\gamma>v^{a}}(v-\gamma)^{-2}=\sum_{\gamma>v^{a}}(\gamma+v)^{-2}<5 A v^{-a} \log v
$$

Hence with (80) and (81)

$$
\Re e Z(w) \geq b_{1}-2 h(v) \sum_{|\gamma|>v^{a}}(v-\gamma)^{-2}>b_{1}-22 A h(v) v^{-a} \log v \geq b_{2}>0
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for } u \geq 1-2 h(v) \text { and } v \geq v_{2}, \text { say. } \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $T>v_{2}+1$ let $w_{1}=1-h(T)+\mathrm{i} T=w(T)$ on $N_{w}$. Then by (82)

$$
\left|Z\left(w_{1}\right)\right|>b_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad Z(w) \neq 0 \text { in }\left|w-w_{1}\right|<\frac{1}{2} h(T)
$$

also $Z(w)$ is holomorphic there and by (79) (with a generic constant $A$ )

$$
|Z(w)|<A \log T ; \quad \text { hence }\left|Z^{\prime}\left(w_{1}\right) / Z\left(w_{1}\right)\right|<4 h^{-1}(T) \log \left(\frac{A}{b_{2}} \log T\right)
$$

by a standard lemma (see Prachar [3], p. 383, Satz 4.3). Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(v):=\arg Z(w) \text { for } w=w(v), v \geq 0 \tag{83a}
\end{equation*}
$$

by continuous variation along $N_{w}$ (taking possible zeros of $Z(w)$ for $0<v<v_{2}$ into account as usual) we obtain by (82) and the above (replacing $T$ by $v$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(v)=O(1) \text { and } \alpha^{\prime}(v) \ll h^{-1}(v) \log \log v \text { as } v \rightarrow \infty \tag{83b}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Using (71), (74) and (75) we obtain formally (i.e. disregarding convergence) the following representations of the three integrals in (71a)

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{0}^{*}: & \rightarrow \int_{N_{w}} \Gamma(w-s) 2^{w} J_{2}(w) d w \\
J_{1}^{*} & : \rightarrow \int_{N_{w}} \Gamma(w-s) 2^{w} S_{0}(w) J_{1}(w) d w-\int_{N_{w}} \Gamma(w-s) 2^{w} Z(w) J_{1}(w) d w  \tag{84a}\\
J_{2}^{*}: & \rightarrow \int_{N_{w}} \Gamma(w-s) 2^{w} S_{0}^{2}(w) J_{0}(w) d w-2 \int_{N_{w}} \Gamma(w-s) 2^{w} S_{0}(w) Z(w) J_{0}(w) d w \\
& +\int_{N_{w}} \Gamma(w-s) 2^{w} Z^{2}(w) J_{0}(w) d w-J_{3}^{*} \quad \text { (say) } \tag{84b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{3}^{*}: \\
& \rightarrow \int_{N_{w}} \Gamma(w-s) 2^{w}\left[\frac{\zeta_{0}^{\prime}}{\zeta_{0}}(w)\right]^{\prime} J_{0}(w) d w \rightarrow-\int_{N_{w}}\left[\Gamma(w-s) 2^{w} J_{0}(w)\right]^{\prime} \frac{\zeta_{0}^{\prime}}{\zeta_{0}}(w) d w \\
& \rightarrow \int_{N_{w}}\left[\Gamma(w-s) 2^{w} J_{0}(w)\right]^{\prime} S_{0}(w) d w-\int_{N_{w}}\left[\Gamma(w-s) 2^{w} J_{0}(w)\right]^{\prime} Z(w) d w,(84 \mathrm{c})
\end{aligned}
$$

noting that

$$
\lim _{w=w(v) \rightarrow \pm \mathrm{i} \infty} \Gamma(w-s) 2^{w} J_{0}(w) \zeta_{0}^{\prime}(w) / \zeta_{0}(w)=0, \quad \text { by }(72)
$$

To show the actual existence of $J_{k}^{*},(k=0,1,2)$ it suffices to prove convergence of every integral appearing in (84a,b,c). For this we still need to study the $J_{k}(w)$ defined in (71) using (69a,b); where for $P(w, z)$ we now use

$$
\begin{align*}
& P=P(w, z)=\sum_{n}^{\circ} \mu(n) g(n, w) g(n, z) \\
& g(n, z):=\prod_{p \mid n}\left(p^{z}-1\right)^{-1}, \quad(u, x>0, u+x>1) \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

from (5). Then
$|g(n, z)|=n^{-x} \prod_{p \mid n}\left|1-p^{-z}\right|^{-1} \leq n^{-x} \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-p^{-x}\right)^{-1} \leq n^{-x} \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-3^{-x}\right)^{-1}=n^{-x} b^{r}$
where $b=\left(1-3^{-x}\right)^{-1}>1$ and $r$ is the number of primes dividing $n$. The Prime Number Theorem yields $r<c \log m / \log \log m$, if $m$ is the product of the first $r$ primes. Thus $m \leq n$ and for $x \geq x_{0}>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(n, z)|<n^{-x} b^{c \log n / \log \log n}=n^{-x+c \log b / \log \log n} \ll n^{-x+o(1)} \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{85a}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that the series in (85) conv. abs. \& uniformly resp. $(v, y)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, if $u \geq 1 / 2 \& x \geq 3 / 4$ say, (where it is used in the following) and that $P^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime \prime}$ of (69b) can be similarly represented by termwise differentiating the series for $P$.

We obtain from (71), (69a) and (85) by allowed termwise integration

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(w)=\int_{N_{z}}^{*} B_{0} Q d z=\int_{N_{z}}^{*} P(w, z) f(z) Q d z=\sum_{n}^{*} \mu(n) g(n, w) q_{0}(n, w ; s) \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}(n, w ; s):=\int_{N_{z}}^{*} g(n, z) f(z) Q(w, z ; s) d z \quad \text { on } N_{w} \tag{86a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and with $Q$ from (70), observing (85a) and that $7 / 8<x<1$ on $N_{z}$. Also from (85) $g(1, z)=1, g^{\prime}(1, z)=g^{\prime \prime}(1, z)=0$, and for odd $n>1$

$$
\begin{align*}
g^{\prime}(n, z) & =-g(n, z) \sum_{p \mid n} \frac{\log p}{1-p^{-z}} \\
g^{\prime \prime}(n, z) & =g(n, z)\left[\left(\sum_{p \mid n} \frac{\log p}{1-p^{-z}}\right)^{2}+\sum_{p \mid n} \frac{p^{-z} \log ^{2} p}{\left(1-p^{-z}\right)^{2}}\right] \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. for $x \geq x_{0}>0$ and $n \gg 1$, as in the derivation of (85a)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|g^{\prime}(n, z)\right| & \leq n^{-x} b^{r+1} \log n \ll n^{-x+o(1)} \\
\left|g^{\prime \prime}(n, z)\right| & \leq n^{-x} b^{r+2}\left[1+3^{-x}\right] \log ^{2} n \ll n^{-x+o(1)} \tag{87a}
\end{align*}
$$

From (71) and (69a) also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{1}(w)=2 \int_{N_{z}}^{*}\left(P f^{\prime}(z)+P^{\prime} f(z)\right) Q d z \\
& J_{2}(w)=\int_{N_{z}}^{*}\left(P f^{\prime \prime}(z)+2 P^{\prime} f^{\prime}(z)+P^{\prime \prime} f(z)\right) Q d z
\end{aligned}
$$

and with (69b) and (85) we obtain by termwise integration

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{k}(w) & =e_{k} \sum_{n}^{\circ} \mu(n) \sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j} g^{(j)}(n, w) q_{k-j}(n, w ; s) \\
e_{k} & :=\binom{2}{k}, \quad(k=0,1,2) \tag{88}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{k}(n, w ; s):=\int_{N_{z}}^{*}[g(n, z) f(z)]^{(k)} Q(w, z ; s) d z \text { on } N_{w},(1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4) \tag{88a}
\end{equation*}
$$

justified by (85a) and (87a) for $1 / 2 \leq u \leq 1$, say; and including (86). Here we insert $Q$ from (70), split the cosine and obtain with $\tilde{C}(w)$ from Lemma 4

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{k}(n, w ; s)=\pi^{2 s-w}\left[e^{\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi w}{2}} q_{k}^{+}(n, w ; s)+e^{-\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi w}{2}} q_{k}^{-}(n, w ; s)\right] \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{k}^{ \pm}(n, w ; s):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{N_{z}}^{*} \pi^{-z}[g(n, z) f(z)]^{(k)} \zeta_{2}(z) e^{\mp \frac{\mathrm{i} \pi z}{2}} \Gamma(z-s) \tilde{C}(z+w-2 s) d z \tag{89a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and correspondingly from (88)

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{k}(w)=\pi^{2 s-w}\left[e^{\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi w}{2}} J_{k}^{+}(w)+e^{-\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi w}{2}} J_{k}^{-}(w)\right] \quad \text { on } N_{w},(k=0,1,2) \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{k}^{ \pm}(w):=e_{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j} \sum_{n}^{\circ} \mu(n) g^{(j)}(n, w) q_{k-j}^{ \pm}(n, w ; s), \quad(1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4) \tag{90a}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain from (89a) by (85a), (87a), (74) and Lemma 4

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{k}^{ \pm}(n, w ; s) & \ll n^{-7 / 8} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^{-k}(y)(1+|y-t|)^{-h(y)}(1+|y+v-2 t|)^{-2} h^{-1}(y) d y \\
& \ll n^{-7 / 8} \int_{\infty}^{\infty}(1+|y|)^{-2} d y \ll n^{-7 / 8}, \quad \text { unif. on } 1 / 2 \leq u \leq 1 \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

and from the form of $\tilde{C}^{\prime}(w)$ follows that also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[q_{0}^{ \pm}(n, w ; s)\right]^{\prime} \ll n^{-7 / 8}, \quad \text { unif. on } 1 / 2 \leq u \leq 1 \tag{91a}
\end{equation*}
$$

There from (90a) and (87a) for $k=0,1,2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{k}^{ \pm}(w) \&\left[J_{0}^{ \pm}(w)\right]^{\prime} \ll \sum_{k}^{\circ} n^{-u+\epsilon-7 / 8} \ll A \quad \text { on } N_{w} \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

the respective series converging abs. \& unif. there, since $7 / 8<u<1$ on $N_{w}$.
5. To circumvent the difficulty of deriving useful estimates for $\arg J_{k}^{ \pm}(w)$ and its derivative along $N_{w}$, we plan to use the series of (90a) in (90) and (84a,b) and prove the existence of the infinite integrals there by justifying termwise integration. This requires besides (85a), (87a) and (91) only a determination of $\arg g^{(j)}(n, w) \& \arg q_{j}^{ \pm}(n, w ; s),(j=0,1,2)$ and their derivatives on $N_{w}$.

Let again $n \geq 3$ be odd \& squarefree and $w=w(v)=1-h(v)+\mathrm{i} v,(v \gtrless 0)$ on $N_{w}$. Then $u>7 / 8$; and by (85) for the principal branch of the logarithms

$$
\log g(n, w)+w \log n=-\sum_{p \mid n} \log \left(1-p^{-w}\right) \ll r \log b_{0}, \quad\left(r:=\sum_{p \mid n} 1\right)
$$

uniformly on $u \geq 3 / 4$, where $r<c \log n / \log \log n$ [as for (85a)] and

$$
b_{0}=\left(1-3^{-3 / 4}\right)^{-1}<2
$$

since

$$
\left|\log \left(1-p^{-w}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} p^{-k u}=-\log \left(1-p^{-u}\right) \leq \log \left(1-3^{-u}\right)^{-1} \leq \log b_{0}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g^{\prime}(n, w) / g(n, w)+\log n\right| & =\left|\sum_{p \mid n} \frac{p^{-w} \log p}{1-p^{-w}}\right| \leq b_{0} \sum_{p \mid n} p^{-u} \log p \\
& \ll \sum_{p \mid n} p^{-1 / 2}<\sum_{j=1}^{r} j^{-1 / 2}<2 r^{1 / 2} \text { on } u>3 / 4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we obtain for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(v)=\beta(n, v):=\arg g(n, w) \text { on } N_{w} \text { with } \beta(n, 0):=0 \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

that
$|\beta(v)+v \log n|<r \&\left|\beta^{\prime}(v)+\log n\right|<2 r^{1 / 2}, \quad r<c \log n / \log \log n, \quad(n \gg 1)$.

Next by (87) for $j=1,2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{j}(v):=\arg \left[(-1)^{j} g^{(j)}(n, w)\right]=\beta(v)+\arg S_{j}(n, w) \quad \text { on } w=w(v) \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}(n, w):=\sum_{p \mid n} \frac{\log p}{1-p^{-w}}, \quad S_{2}(n, w):=S_{1}^{2}(n, w)-S_{1}^{\prime}(n, w) \tag{94a}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $v=0$ we have $S_{j}(n, u)>0$ and $\arg S_{j}(n, u)=0 ;(u>0)$. Next

$$
\left|\arg \left(1-p^{-w}\right)\right| \leq\left|\log \left(1-p^{-w}\right)\right| \leq \log b_{0}<0.6<\pi / 5, \quad(u>3 / 4)
$$

and

$$
\Re e\left(1-p^{-w}\right)^{-1} \geq\left|1-p^{-w}\right|^{-1} \cos \frac{\pi}{5} \geq \frac{4}{5}\left(1+p^{-u}\right)^{-1} \geq \frac{4}{5}\left(1+3^{-3 / 4}\right)^{-1}>1 / 2
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg S_{1}(n, w)\right|<\pi / 5 \text { and }\left|S_{1}(n, w)\right| \geq \Re e S_{1}(n, w)>\frac{1}{2} \log n \text { on } u>3 / 4 \tag{95a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{1}^{\prime}(n, w)\right|<b_{0}^{2} \sum_{p \mid n} p^{-u} \log ^{2} p \ll \sum_{p \mid n} p^{-a}<\sum_{j=1}^{r} p_{j}^{-a} \ll r^{1-a}<\frac{1}{2}(\log n)^{1 / 2} \tag{95b}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a=1 / 2<u-1 / 4$ (say) and $r$ as before. Thus $\left|S_{1}^{\prime}(n, w) / S_{1}(n, w)\right|<$ $(\log n)^{-1 / 2}$ and by (94)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta_{1}(v)-\beta(v)\right|<\pi / 5 \&\left|\beta_{1}^{\prime}(v)-\beta^{\prime}(v)\right|<(\log n)^{-1 / 2} \text { for } n \gg 1 \& v \text { in } \mathbb{R} \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, by (95a)

$$
\left|\arg S_{1}^{2}(n, w)\right|<2 \pi / 5, \quad \Re e S_{1}^{2}(n, w)>\left|S_{1}^{2}(n, w)\right| \cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}>\frac{1}{12} \log ^{2} n
$$

and so by (94a) \& (95b) for $n \gg 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{2}(n, w)\right| \geq \Re e S_{2}(n, w)>\frac{1}{12} \log ^{2} n-(\log n)^{1 / 2}>\frac{1}{13} \log ^{2} n \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, similar to (95b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}^{\prime \prime}(n, w)=\sum_{p \mid n} \frac{1+p^{-w}}{\left(1-p^{-w}\right)^{3}} p^{-w} \log ^{3} p \ll 2 b_{0}^{3} \sum_{p \mid n} p^{-u} \log ^{3} p \ll r^{1 / 2}<(\log n)^{1 / 2} \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \gg 1 \& u>3 / 4$. Now from (94a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|S_{2}^{\prime}(n, w)\right| & \leq 2\left|S_{1}(n, w) S_{1}^{\prime}(n, w)\right|+\left|S_{1}^{\prime \prime}(n, w)\right| \\
& <2 b_{0} \log n \cdot r^{1 / 2}+(\log n)^{1 / 2}<5 r^{1 / 2} \log n
\end{aligned}
$$

by $(95 b) \&(98)$, and then by $(97)\left|S_{2}^{\prime}(n, w) / S_{2}(n, w)\right|<(\log n)^{-1 / 2}$ for $n \gg 1$ $\& u>3 / 4$, since $r \ll \log n / \log \log n$. Finally by (94) and (97)

$$
\left|\beta_{2}(v)-\beta(v)\right|<\pi / 2 \&\left|\beta_{2}^{\prime}(v)-\beta^{\prime}(v)\right|<(\log n)^{-1 / 2} \text { for } n \gg 1 \& v \text { in } \mathbb{R}
$$

6. Turning to the functions in (89a) we write generically

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(w):=q_{k}^{ \pm}(n, w ; s)=\int_{N_{z}}^{*} Q(z) \tilde{C}(z+w-2 s) d z \text { and } \tilde{q}(v):=q(w(v)) \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(z):=Q_{k}^{ \pm}(n, z ; s):=\frac{1}{2} \pi^{-z}[g(n, z) f(z)]^{(k)} \zeta_{2}(z) e^{\mp \frac{\mathrm{i} \pi z}{2}} \Gamma(z-s) \tag{100a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is in $1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4$ as always. By (91) we already have $\tilde{q}(v)=O(1)$ as $|v| \rightarrow \infty$. To study $\arg \tilde{q}(v)$ we consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{q}(v)-q(u)=\int_{N_{z}}^{*} Q(z)[\tilde{C}(z+w-2 s)-\tilde{C}(z+u-2 s)] d z, \quad u=1-h(v) \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here by Lemma 4

$$
\begin{equation*}
[-]=2 \mathrm{i} v[(1-z-w+2 s)(1-z-u+2 s)]^{-1}+\mathrm{i} v \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathrm{i} \pi)^{2 n}}{(2 n)!}[(2 n+\tilde{z}-w)(2 n+\tilde{z}-u)]^{-1} \tag{101a}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\tilde{z}=1-z+2 s$ for shorter notation. Now $|Q(z)|<A \log ^{-4}(3+|y|)$ on $N_{z}$, certainly, and integrating termwise (justified by abs. conv.) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{q}(v)=q(u)+2 \mathrm{i} v A_{0}(v)+\mathrm{i} v \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathrm{i} \pi)^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} A_{n}(v) \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(v):=\int_{N_{z}}^{*} Q(z)[(2 n+\tilde{z}-w)(2 n+\tilde{z}-u)]^{-1} d z \quad \text { on } N_{w} \tag{102a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Re e(\tilde{z}-u)=\Re e(\tilde{z}-w)=1-x+2 \sigma-u \geq h(y)+h(v)>0$ on $N_{z}$ for every $w=w(v)$ and $s$ in $1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4$, we find that

$$
E_{n}(v):=\int_{N_{z}}^{*}[(2 n+\tilde{z}-w)(2 n+\tilde{z}-u)]^{-1} d z=0 \quad \text { for } n \geq 0 \& w=w(v)
$$

Therefore with any constant $K$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(v)=K E_{n}(v)+A_{n}(v)=\int_{N_{z}}^{*}[K+Q(z)][(2 n+\tilde{z}-w)(2 n+\tilde{z}-u)]^{-1} d z \tag{102b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for instance

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}(v)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}[K+Q(z)] \frac{e^{\mathrm{i}\left[\omega_{1}(y, v)+\omega_{0}(y, v)\right]}}{|(1-z-w+2 s)(1-z-u+2 s)|} d y, \quad z=1-h(y)+\mathrm{i} y \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{1}(y, v):=\arctan [(y+v-2 t) / N] \quad \& \quad \omega_{0}(y, v):=\arctan [(y-2 t) / N] \\
& \text { with } N:=2 \sigma-1+h(y)+h(v)>0 \text { are both in }(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $v$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Taking $K=8 A$ (say), so that $K^{-1}|Q(z)|<1 / 8$ in (102b) and (103), yields $\left|\arg A_{n}(v)\right|<\frac{5}{4} \pi$ (amply) for every $n \geq 0$ and $v$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Also, each integral in (102a) represents a holomorphic function of $w$ on $N_{w}$, and
$A_{n}(v) \ll \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}[(2 n+|y+v|)(2 n+|y|)]^{-1} d y<\frac{2}{n} \quad$ and $\quad A_{n}^{\prime}(v) \ll n^{-2}, \quad(n>0)$
uniformly on $N_{w}$. Thus the series in (102) conv. abs. and unif. on $\mathbb{R}$ and so does the termwise differentiated series. Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(v):=\arg \tilde{q}(v) \text { for } v \gtrless 0 \text { with }-\pi<\arg \tilde{q}(0) \leq \pi \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain from (102) and the foregoing (by geometric addition of the vectors $(-1)^{n} \frac{\pi^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} A_{n}(v)$ in $\mathbb{C}$, say) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(v)=O(1) \text { and } \psi^{\prime}(v)=O(1) \text { as }|v| \rightarrow \infty ; \tag{104a}
\end{equation*}
$$

the last also since $\tilde{q}^{\prime}(v) / \tilde{q}(v)=O(1)$ for $v \rightarrow \pm \infty$, as implied by differentiating in (102). We still need to consider [for $J_{0}^{\prime}(w)$ in (84c) by (90a)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}(v):=\arg \left[q_{0}^{ \pm}(n, w ; s)\right]^{\prime} \text { on } N_{w} \text { with }-\pi<\psi_{1}(0) \leq \pi \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

This amounts to dealing with

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime}(w)=\int_{N_{z}}^{*} Q(z) \tilde{C}^{\prime}(z+w-2 s) d z \text { on } N_{w} \tag{100b}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the notation of (100) \& (100a) for $k=0$. Calculating $q^{\prime}(w)-q^{\prime}(u)$ (f.i. by differentiating in (101a) by $z$ ) we obtain analogues of (102) and (102b) etc., and again these lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}(v)=O(1) \text { and } \psi_{1}^{\prime}(v)=O(1) \text { as }|v| \rightarrow \infty \tag{105a}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the estimates in (104a) \& (105a) are uniform regarding the parameter $n$ occurring in (100) \& (105).
7. We return to proving convergence of the infinite integrals in (84a,b,c). Since the proof is substantially the same for all these integrals, we consider just the second integral in (84b) as a model case and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{22}^{*}: \rightarrow \int_{N_{w}} \Gamma(w-s) 2^{w} S_{0}(w) Z(w) J_{0}(w) d w=: \int_{N_{w}} F_{22}(w) J_{0}(w) d w \text { say } \tag{106a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathrm{i} J_{22}^{*}=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} F_{22}(w) J_{0}(w) d v+\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} F_{22}(\bar{w}) J_{0}(\bar{w}) d v \quad \text { with } w=w(v) \tag{106b}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the limits exist. By (90)
$\pi^{-2 s} \int_{0}^{T} F_{22}(w) J_{0}(w) d v=\int_{0}^{T} F_{22}^{+}(w) J_{0}^{+}(w) d v+\int_{0}^{T} F_{22}^{-}(w) J_{0}^{-}(w) d v, \quad w=w(v)$
and by (90a) \& (92)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} F_{22}^{ \pm}(w) J_{0}^{ \pm}(w) d v=\sum_{n}^{\circ} \mu(n) \int_{0}^{T} F_{22}^{ \pm}(w) g(n, w) q_{0}^{ \pm}(n, w ; s) d v \tag{107b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{22}(w):=\Gamma(w-s) 2^{w} S_{0}(w) Z(w) \quad \& \quad F_{22}^{ \pm}:=F_{22}(w) \pi^{-w} e^{ \pm \frac{i \pi w}{2}} \tag{107c}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $s$ is in $1 / 2 \leq \sigma<3 / 4$ as always. Rewriting the last integrals in (107b) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{22}^{ \pm}(n, T)=\int_{0}^{T} r(n, v) e^{\mathrm{i} \phi(n, v)} d v, \quad(n \text { odd } \& \text { squarefree }) \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
r(n, v) & :=\left|F_{22}^{ \pm}(w) g(n, w) q_{0}^{ \pm}(n, w ; s)\right| \& \\
\phi(n, v) & :=\arg F_{22}^{ \pm}(w)+\beta(v)+\psi(v) \text { on } N_{w} \tag{108a}
\end{align*}
$$

using (93) and (100) \& (104); we have by (85a) \& (91) and by (77) \& (79)

$$
\begin{align*}
r(n, v) & \ll n^{-7 / 4} e^{\frac{\pi v}{2}}\left|\Gamma(w-s) S_{0}(w) Z(w)\right| \\
& \ll n^{-7 / 4}(1+|v-t|)^{-h(v)} \log ^{2}(2+v) \\
\ll & n^{-7 / 4} e^{-c[\log (2+v)]^{1 / 5} \log ^{2}(2+v)} \\
& \text { uniformly for } n>1 \& v>1 \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, from (108a) \& (107c) and with (78) \& (83a)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(n, v)=\gamma(v)-v \log \frac{\pi}{2} \pm \frac{\pi u}{2}+\alpha_{0}(v)+\alpha(v)+\beta(v)+\psi(v) \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(v):=\arg \Gamma(w-s) \text { on } w=w(v) \text { is given in }(73) \tag{110a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Additionally, by (78) for $\alpha_{0}^{\prime}$, (83b) for $\alpha^{\prime}$, (93a) for $\beta^{\prime}$ and (104a) for $\psi^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi^{\prime}(n, v) & =\log v-O(1)-o(1)-O\left(h^{-1}(v) \log \log v\right)-\log n-o\left([\log n]^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& =\left[1-O\left([\log v]^{-1 / 6}\right)\right] \log v-\left[1+O\left([\log n]^{-1 / 2}\right)\right] \log n \tag{111}
\end{align*}
$$

for $n \gg 1 \& v \gg 1$, and the $O$-estimates are uniform in $n \& v$. This shows that $\phi(n, v)$ is dominated by $\gamma(v)$ and that $\phi^{\prime}(n, v)$ for $v \gg 1$ is monotonically increasing and so equals zero at most once, say at $v^{*}$; where $v^{*}=n^{1+\nu(n)}$ with $\nu(n)=O\left([\log n]^{-1 / 6}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Next we need lower bounds for $\left|\phi^{\prime}(n, v)\right|$ away from $v^{*}$. Let $v_{1}=v^{*}(1-\delta)$ and $v_{2}=v^{*}(1+\delta)$ with $\delta=\left(v^{*}\right)^{-1 / 2}<1 / 2$. Taking $N_{0} \gg 1$ such that for $n \geq N_{0}$ $\& v_{1} \leq v \leq v_{2}$ the $O$-terms in (111) are less than $1 / 4$ and also $\nu(n)<1 / 4$, we obtain from (111) in simplified notation, since $\phi^{\prime}\left(n, v^{*}\right)=0$

$$
\phi^{\prime}\left(n, v_{1}\right)=\left(1-O_{1}\right) \log v^{*}+\left(1-O_{1}\right) \log (1-\delta)-(1+O) \log n<0
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(n, v_{1}\right)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}|\log (1-\delta)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \delta, \quad v_{1}=v^{*}(1-\delta) \tag{112a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi^{\prime}\left(n, v_{2}\right) & =\left(1-O_{2}\right) \log v^{*}+\left(1-O_{2}\right) \log (1+\delta)-(1+O) \log n \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \log (1+\delta) \geq \frac{1}{3} \delta, \quad v_{2}=v^{*}(1+\delta), \tag{112b}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{*} \delta=\left(v^{*}\right)^{1 / 2}<n^{5 / 8} \text { and } n \geq N_{0} \gg 1, \quad\left(N_{0} \text { an absolute const. }\right) . \tag{112c}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n<N_{0}$ and $v \geq N_{0}^{2}$ say, we obtain from (111), if $N_{0}$ is sufficiently large,

$$
\phi^{\prime}(n, v)>\frac{3}{4} \log N_{0}^{2}-O(1)-\frac{5}{4} \log N_{0}>\frac{1}{8} \log N_{0}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(n, v)>1 \text { for } 3 \leq n<N_{0} \& v \geq N_{0}^{2} \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

The preceding holds for $\phi(n, v)$ of (108a) with odd squarefree $n$ and yields
Lemma 7 Let $v_{0}=\frac{1}{2} N_{0}$ and $\tilde{v}>N_{0}^{2}$ with a suitable constant $N_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{v_{0}}^{\tilde{v}} e^{\mathrm{i} \phi(n, v)} d v\right|<N_{0}^{2}+22 n^{5 / 8}, \quad \text { if } \mu(2 n) \neq 0 . \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: First let $n<N_{0}$. Writing $\phi=\phi(v)=\phi(n, v)$ we have

$$
\left|\int_{v_{0}}^{\tilde{v}} e^{\mathrm{i} \phi} d v\right| \leq N_{0}^{2}-v_{0}+\left|\int_{a}^{\tilde{v}} e^{\mathrm{i} \phi} d v\right| \leq N_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} N_{0}+\tilde{K} \quad \text { say, with } a=N_{0}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{\tilde{v}} \cos \phi d v & =\int_{a}^{\tilde{v}} \frac{1}{\phi^{\prime}(v)} \cos \phi(v) \cdot \phi^{\prime}(v) d v \\
& =\frac{1}{\phi^{\prime}(a)}[\sin \phi(b)-\sin \phi(a)], \quad(a<b \leq \tilde{v})
\end{aligned}
$$

by the second mean-value theorem, since here $\phi^{\prime}(v)>0$ by (113) and $\phi^{\prime}$ increases by (111). The same argument works for the sine-integral and so $\tilde{K}<4$ (for all $\left.\tilde{v}>N_{0}^{2}\right)$, since $\phi^{\prime}(a)=\phi^{\prime}\left(n, N_{0}^{2}\right)>1$. Now let $n \geq N_{0}$. Then $v_{0}<N_{0}-N_{0}^{1 / 2} \leq$ $n-n^{1 / 2}<v^{*}-\left(v^{*}\right)^{1 / 2}=v_{1}$ of (112a), and $\phi^{\prime}(v)=\phi^{\prime}(n, v)$ remains negative, but increases as $v$ varies from $v_{0}$ to $v_{1}$ and so does $1 /\left|\phi^{\prime}(v)\right|$. Considering for $j=0,1,2$ and with $v_{3}=\infty$

$$
K_{j}(x):=\int_{v_{j}}^{x} e^{\mathrm{i} \phi(v)} d v \text { for } v_{j} \leq x \leq v_{j+1}, \quad K_{j}(x):=0 \text { elsewhere }
$$

we obtain by the second mean-value theorem

$$
\Re e K_{0}(x)=\int_{v_{0}}^{x} \frac{-1}{\left|\phi^{\prime}(v)\right|} \cos \phi(v) \phi^{\prime}(v) d v=\frac{1}{\left|\phi^{\prime}(x)\right|}\left[\sin \phi\left(v_{0}\right)-\sin \phi(x)\right]
$$

and so by (112a)

$$
\left|\Re e K_{0}(x)\right| \leq \frac{2}{\left|\phi^{\prime}\left(v_{1}\right)\right|} \leq 4 \delta^{-1} \text { and then }\left|K_{0}(x)\right| \leq 8 \delta^{-1}<8 n^{5 / 8}
$$

by (112c). Since $\phi^{\prime}(v)>0$ for $v \geq v_{2}$ and $1 / \phi^{\prime}(v)$ decreases with increasing $v$, we obtain similarly and with (112b)

$$
\left|K_{2}(x)\right| \leq \frac{4}{\phi^{\prime}\left(v_{2}\right)} \leq 12 \delta^{-1}<12 n^{5 / 8} . \text { Clearly }\left|K_{1}(x)\right| \leq v_{2}-v_{1}<2 n^{5 / 8}
$$

Now

$$
\left|\int_{v_{0}}^{\tilde{v}} e^{\mathrm{i} \phi(v)} d v\right| \leq\left|K_{0}\left(v_{1}\right)\right|+\left|K_{1}\left(v_{2}\right)\right|+\left|K_{2}(\tilde{v})\right|<22 n^{5 / 8}
$$

completing the proof of Lemma 7.
We also employ
Lemma $8 \operatorname{Let} r(v)$ and $\rho(v)$ of class $C^{1}\left(v_{0}, \infty\right), 0 \leq r(v)<\rho(v)$ and $\rho^{\prime}(v)<0$ for $v>v_{0}=\frac{1}{2} N_{0}$; and let $\phi(v)$ in $C^{0}\left(v_{0}, \infty\right)$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{v_{0}}^{x} e^{\mathrm{i} \phi(v)} d v\right|<K \text { for } x>N_{0}^{2} . \text { Then }\left|\int_{T}^{x} r(v) e^{\mathrm{i} \phi(v)} d v\right|<4 K \rho(T) \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $x>T>N_{0}^{2}$. Especially, if also $\rho(v) \rightarrow 0$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{J}(T):=\int_{T}^{\infty} r(v) e^{\mathrm{i} \phi(v)} d v \quad \text { exists and }|\tilde{J}(T)| \leq 4 K \rho(T) \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We have for $x>T$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}^{x} r(v) e^{\mathrm{i} \phi(v)} d v=r(x) \chi(x)-\int_{T}^{x} r^{\prime}(v) \chi(v) d v \quad \text { with } \chi(x):=\int_{T}^{x} e^{\mathrm{i} \phi(v)} d v \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\chi(x)=\int_{v_{0}}^{x} e^{\mathrm{i} \phi(v)} d v-\int_{v_{0}}^{T} e^{\mathrm{i} \phi(v)} d v \text { yields }|\chi(x)|<2 K, \quad \text { if } T>N_{0}^{2}
$$

We introduce for $v>N_{0}^{2}$
$r_{0}(v):=\left\{\begin{array}{c}r(v), \text { if } C^{*} \\ 0, \text { if } \mathrm{C}\end{array}\right\}, r_{1}(v):=\left\{\begin{array}{c}0, \text { if } C^{*} \\ \rho(v)-r(v), \text { if } \mathrm{C}\end{array}\right\}, r_{2}(v):=\left\{\begin{array}{c}0, \text { if } C^{*} \\ \rho(v), \text { if } \mathrm{C}\end{array}\right\}$,
where $C^{*}$ resp. $C$ stands for $r^{\prime}(v)<0$ resp, $r^{\prime}(v) \geq 0$. Then

$$
r(v)=r_{0}(v)-r_{1}(v)+r_{2}(v) \text { and } r_{j}(v) \geq 0, r_{j}^{\prime}(v) \leq 0 \text { for } j=0,1,2
$$

Replacing $r(v)$ by $r_{j}(v)$ in (117) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{T}^{x} r_{j}(v) e^{\mathrm{i} \phi(v)} d v\right| & \leq r_{j}(x)|\chi(x)|+\int_{T}^{x}\left|r_{j}^{\prime}(v)\right| \cdot|\chi(v)| d v \\
& \leq 2 K\left(r_{j}(x)-\int_{T}^{x} r_{j}^{\prime}(v) d v\right)=2 K r_{j}(T)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\left|\int_{T}^{x} r(v) e^{\mathrm{i} \phi(v)} d v\right| \leq 2 K \sum_{j=0}^{2} r_{j}(T) \leq 4 K \rho(T) \text { for } x>T
$$

8. Considering again the integral in (108) we note that by (109)

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(n, v)<\rho(v)=\rho(n, v):=A n^{-7 / 4}[\log (2+v)]^{-4}, \quad A=\text { const. } \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

say. Using Lemma 8 with $r(v)=r(n, v)$ and $K=K(n):=N_{0}^{2}+22 n^{5 / 8}$ by Lemma 7, we infer for $T>N_{0}^{2}$ that the complementary integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{J}(n, T):=\int_{T}^{\infty} r(n, v) e^{\mathrm{i} \phi(n, v)} d v \text { exists and }|\tilde{J}(n, T)| \leq 4 K(n) \rho(n, T) \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (107b) with the notation of (108) we now obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} F_{22}^{ \pm}(w) J_{0}^{ \pm}(w) d v=\sum_{n}^{\circ} \mu(n)\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} r(n, v) e^{\mathrm{i} \phi(n, v)} d v-\tilde{J}(n, T)\right] \tag{120a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n}^{\circ}|\mu(n) \tilde{J}(n, T)| & <4 A[\log (2+T)]^{-4} \sum_{n>0}\left(N_{0}^{2}+22 n^{5 / 8}\right) n^{-7 / 4} \\
& <\tilde{A}[\log (2+T)]^{-4} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } T \rightarrow \infty \tag{120b}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the limits

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} F_{22}^{ \pm}(w) J_{0}^{ \pm}(w) d v=\sum_{n}^{\circ} \mu(n) \int_{0}^{\infty} F_{22}^{ \pm}(w) g(n, w) q_{0}^{ \pm}(n, w ; s) d v \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

exist, and then by (107a) the first limit in (106b) exists and so does the second limit there, by an analogous calculation; i.e. $J_{22}^{*}=J_{22}^{*}(s)$ actually exists.

Regarding the dependence on the parameter $s$, which we often omitted in our notation, we remark that $s$ appears only in the arguments of analytic functions and that the estimates used in the preceding convergence proof are uniform for $s$ on $1 / 2 \leq \sigma \leq 3 / 4 \&|t| \leq T$ and arbitrary $T \gg 1$. Hence $J_{22}^{*}(s)$ is continuous there and holomorphic on $1 / 2<\sigma<3 / 4$.

It is visible that the first and the third integral in (84b), denoted by $J_{21}^{*}$ and $J_{23}^{*}$ say, can be treated the same way as $J_{22}^{*}$ following (106a,b). This yields the existence of

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}^{*}=J_{21}^{*}-2 J_{22}^{*}+J_{23}^{*}-J_{3}^{*} \quad \text { with } \quad J_{3}^{*}=J_{31}^{*}-J_{32}^{*} \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (84c), since for instance the last integral there becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{32}^{*}= & \int_{N_{w}} \Gamma(w-s) 2^{w} Z(w) J_{0}(w)\left[\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}(w-s)+\log 2\right] d w \\
& +\int_{N_{w}} \Gamma(w-s) 2^{w} Z(w) J_{0}^{\prime}(w) d w \tag{123}
\end{align*}
$$

and here the first integral exists by (76) and comparison with $J_{23}^{*}$ in (84b), while the second integral exists using (91a), (105) \& (105a) and arguing as for $J_{22}^{*}$ above. The next to last integral $J_{31}^{*}$ in (84c) exists similarly. Finally, convergence of the integrals $J_{0}^{*}$ and $J_{1}^{*}$ of (84a) follows with (90) \& (90a) for $k=2 \& 1$ in the same way as for $J_{22}^{*}$, using (87a), (96) and (99) additionally, to establish the crucial estimates corresponding to (109) \& (111).

This completes the proof of convergence of the integrals $J_{k}^{*}=J_{k}^{*}(s),(k=$ $0,1,2)$ in (71a) and implies their continuity and holomorphy, as for $J_{22}^{*}$ above. By the remarks surrounding (71a) we have thereby proven our assumption $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ and the existence of the iterated integral $H(s)$ in (53); which implies with (65) that $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} J(s, \epsilon)=H(s)$ for $s$ in $1 / 2 \leq \sigma \leq 3 / 4$, as we have seen. Since now we have $2 \pi \mathrm{i} H(s)=J_{0}^{*}(s)+J_{1}^{*}(s)+J_{2}^{*}(s), H(s)$ also is holomorphic on $1 / 2<\sigma<3 / 4$ and continuous on $1 / 2 \leq \sigma \leq 3 / 4$; i.e. our proof of the Main Lemma is complete; and in conclusion, Theorems $1 \& 2$ are validated.
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